CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



JANUARY 2014

NEAR REAL-TIME OCEAN / ATMOSPHERE

Monitoring, Assessments, and Prediction

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Centers for Environmental Prediction **CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN**



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER Attn: Climate Diagnostics Bulletin W/NP52, Room 605, WWBG Camp Springs, MD 20746-4304

Chief Editor: Gerald D. Bell Editors: Wei Shi, Michelle L'Heureux, and Michael Halpert Bulletin Production: Wei Shi

ExternalCollaborators:

Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS) Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (CIRA) Earth & Space Research International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI) Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO) NOAA-CIRES, Climate Diagnostics Center NOAA-AOML, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory NOAA-NESDIS-STAR, Center for Satellite Applications and Research NOAA-NDBC, National Data Buoy Center Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Software: Most of the bulletin figures generated at CPC are created using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS).

- Climate Diagnostics Bulletin available on the World Wide Web

The CDB is available on the World Wide Web. The address of the online version of the CDB is:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/CDB

If you have any problems accessing the bulletin, contact Dr. Wei Shi by E-mail:

Wei.Shi@noaa.gov

Table of Contents

| TROPICS | |
|--|-------------|
| Highlights page 6 | |
| Table of Atmospheric Indices page 7 | |
| | |
| Table of Oceanic Indices page 8 | FLOUDE |
| | FIGURE |
| Time Series | |
| Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) | T1 |
| Tahiti and Darwin SLP Anomalies | T1 |
| OLR Anomalies | T1 |
| CDAS/Reanalysis SOI & Equatorial SOI | T2 |
| 200-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T3 |
| 500-hPa Temperature Anomalies | T3 |
| 30-hPa and 50-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T3 |
| 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T4 T5 |
| Equatorial Pacific SST Anomalies | 15 |
| Time-Longitude Sections | 74 |
| Mean and Anomalous Sea Level Pressure | T6 |
| Mean and Anomalous 850-hPa Zonal Wind | T7 |
| Mean and Anomalous OLR | T8 |
| Mean and Anomalous SST | T9 |
| Pentad SLP Anomalies Pentad OLR Anomalies | T10 |
| | T11 |
| Pentad 200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomalies Pentad 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T12 T13 |
| | T13 T14 |
| Anomalous Equatorial Zonal Wind Mean and Anomalous Depth of the 20°C Isotherm | T14 T15 |
| | 115 |
| Mean & Anomaly Fields | T1 <i>C</i> |
| Depth of the 20°C Isotherm | T16 |
| Subsurface Equatorial Pacific Temperatures | T17 |
| SST SLP | T18 T19 |
| 850-hPa Vector Wind | T20 |
| 200-hPa Vector Wind | T20 |
| 200-hPa Streamfunction | T22 |
| 200-hPa Divergence | T23 |
| 200-hPa Velocity Potential and Divergent Wind | T24 |
| OLR | T25 |
| SSM/I Tropical Precipitation Estimates | T26 |
| Cloud Liquid Water | T27 |
| Precipitable Water | T28 |
| Divergence & E-W Divergent Circulation | T29 - T30 |
| Pacific Zonal Wind & N-S Divergent Circulation | T31 - T32 |
| | |

Appendix 1: Outside Contributions Tropical Drifting Buoys

A1.1

| | F | IGURE | | |
|---------------|--|----------|---|-----|
| | Pacific Wind Stress and Anomalies Satellite-Derived Surface Currents | | A1.2 A1.3 - A | 1.4 |
| FORECAST FORU | Ν | | | |
| Discussion. | page 49 | | | |
| | Canonical Correlation Analysis Forecasts NCEP Coupled Model Forecasts NCEP Markov Model Forecasts LDEO Model Forecasts Linear Inverse Modeling Forecasts Scripps/MPI Hybrid Coupled Model Forecast ENSO-CLIPER Model Forecast Model Forecasts of Niño 3.4 | | F1 - F2 F3 - F4 F5 - F6 F7 - F8 F9 - F10 F11 F12 F13 | |
| EXTRATROPICS | | | | |
| Highlights . | page 64 | | | |
| | connection Indices pag | ge 66 | | |
| Northe | Global Surface Temperature Temperature Anomalies (Land Only) Global Precipitation Regional Precipitation Estimates U. S. Precipitation rn Hemisphere Teleconnection Indices Mean and Anomalous SLP Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors 500-hPa Persistence Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height A 700-hPa Storm Track rn Hemisphere | | E1 E2 E3 E4 - E5 E6 E7 E9 E10 E11 E12 E13 | E8 |
| Journe | Mean and Anomalous SLP Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors 500-hPa Persistence Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height A | nomalies | E15 E16 E17 E18 | E14 |
| Stratos | | | | |
| A 10 10 10 | Height Anomalies Temperatures Ozone Vertical Component of EP Flux Ozone Hole div. 2: Additional Figures | | S1 - S2 S3 - S4 S5 - S6 S7 S8 | |
| Appen | dix 2: Additional Figures Arctic Oscillation and 500-hPa Anomalies Snow Cover | | A2.1 A2.2 | |

Tropical Highlights - January 2014

During January 2014, the sea surface temperatures (SSTs) remained near-average across the central and eastern equatorial Pacific (Fig. T18, Table T2). The latest monthly Niño indices were -0.5° C for the Niño 3.4 region and $+0.3^{\circ}$ C for the Niño 1+2 region (Table T2, Fig. T5). Consistent with these conditions, the depth of the oceanic thermocline (measured by the depth of the 20C isotherm) remained near-average across the central and east-central equatorial Pacific (Figs. T15, T16).

Also during January, the low-level easterly trade winds remained near-average across the central and eastern equatorial Pacific (Table T1, Fig. T20). Meanwhile, tropical convection remained enhanced over western Pacific and suppressed over the central equatorial Pacific (Figs. T25, E3). Collectively, these oceanic and atmospheric anomalies reflect a continuation of ENSO-neutral conditions.

For the latest status of the ENSO cycle see the ENSO Diagnostic Discussion at: http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/enso_advisory/index.html

| | | | | | | | 200-hPa | |
|--------|--------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | SLP An | SLP Anomalies | Tahiti minus | 850-hPa | 850-hPa Zonal Wind Index | Index | Wind Index | OLR Index |
| Month | Tahiti | Darwin | Darwin SOI | 5N-5S 135E-180 | 5N-5S 175W- 140W | 5N-5S 135W- 120W | 5N-5S 165W- 110W | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| JAN 14 | 1.0 | -1.7 | 1.4 | -0.3 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| DEC 13 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | -0.3 | 1.3 | 6.0 |
| NOV 13 | 0.1 | -1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | -0.4 | -0.1 | 0.8 |
| 0CT 13 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| SEP 13 | 0.0 | -0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| AUG 13 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | -0.4 | -0.5 | -1.0 | 0.9 |
| JUL 13 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| JUN 13 | 0.4 | -1.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| MAY 13 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| APR 13 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| MAR 13 | 1.6 | -1.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 1.3 | -0.3 |
| FEB 13 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | -1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| JAN 13 | -1.0 | -0.9 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 1.4 | -0.2 |
| | | | | | | | | |

TABLE T1 - Atmospheric index values for the most recent 12 months. Indices are standardized by the mean annual standard deviation, except for the Tahiti and Darwin SLP anomalies which are in units of hPa. Positive (negative) values of 200-hPa zonal wind index imply westerly (easterly) anomalies. Positive (negative) values of 850-hPa zonal wind indices imply easterly (westerly) anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.

| | | | | PACIFIC SST | C SST | | | | | ATLANTIC SST | IC SST | | 0T9 | GLOBAL |
|--------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Month | Niño 1+2 0-10S 90W-80W | 0 1+2 0 S 80 W | Niño 3 5N-5S 150W-90W | 0 3 -5S -90W | Niño 3.4 5N-5S 170W-120W | -3.4 55 120W | Niño 4 5N-5S 160E-150W | Niño 4 5N-5S 0E-150W | 5N- 5N- | N.ATL 5N-20N 60W-30W | S. ATL 0-20S 30W-10E | TL 0S -10E | TRO 10N 0-3 | TROPICS 10N-10S 0-360 |
| JAN 14 | 0.3 | 24.8 | -0.4 | 25.3 | -0.5 | 26.1 | -0.2 | 28.1 | -0.1 | 25.9 | -0.1 | 25.5 | -0.0 | 27.6 |
| DEC 13 | -0.2 | 22.6 | -0.0 | 25.1 | -0.0 | 26.5 | 0.2 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 26.9 | -0.1 | 24.6 | 0.1 | 27.7 |
| NOV 13 | -0.5 | 21.1 | -0.2 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.3 | 28.9 | 0.1 | 27.7 | -0.3 | 23.6 | 0.1 | 27.8 |
| OCT 13 | -0.6 | 20.2 | -0.2 | 24.7 | -0.3 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 0.4 | 28.5 | -0.2 | 23.2 | 0.1 | 27.5 |
| SEP 13 | -0.6 | 19.8 | -0.1 | 24.7 | -0.1 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 0.5 | 28.6 | -0.2 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 27.3 |
| AUG 13 | -1.0 | 19.7 | -0.6 | 24.4 | -0.3 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 0.3 | 28.1 | 0.1 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 27.2 |
| JUL 13 | -1.3 | 20.3 | -0.7 | 25.0 | -0.3 | 26.9 | -0.0 | 28.8 | 0.2 | 27.4 | -0.0 | 23.7 | -0.1 | 27.4 |
| JUN 13 | -1.4 | 21.5 | -0.6 | 25.8 | -0.2 | 27.4 | -0.1 | 28.8 | 0.3 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | -0.1 | 27.9 |
| MAY 13 | -1.4 | 22.9 | -0.7 | 26.4 | -0.3 | 27.6 | -0.1 | 28.7 | 0.5 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 26.4 | -0.1 | 28.5 |
| APR 13 | -0.9 | 24.7 | -0.2 | 27.4 | -0.1 | 27.7 | -0.0 | 28.5 | 0.4 | 26.3 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 0.1 | 28.8 |
| MAR 13 | 0.1 | 26.7 | 0.1 | 27.2 | -0.2 | 27.0 | -0.2 | 28.0 | 0.5 | 26.1 | -0.1 | 27.0 | 0.1 | 28.4 |
| FEB 13 | -0.4 | 25.7 | -0.5 | 25.9 | -0.4 | 26.3 | -0.0 | 28.1 | 0.3 | 25.8 | -0.1 | 26.5 | 0.1 | 28.0 |
| JAN 13 | -0.5 | 24.0 | -0.6 | 25.1 | -0.4 | 26.2 | -0.0 | 28.3 | 0.4 | 26.3 | 0.1 | 25.7 | 0.1 | 27.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE T2. Mean and anomalous sea surface temperature (°C) for the most recent 12 months. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology (Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T1. Five-month running mean of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) (top), sea-level pressure anomaly (hPa) at Darwin and Tahiti (middle), and outgoing longwave radiation anomaly (OLR) averaged over the area 5N-5S, 160E-160W (bottom). Anomalies in the top and middle panels are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies in the bottom panel are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. Individual monthly values are indicated by "x"s in the top and bottom panels. The x-axis labels are centered on July.




FIGURE T2. Three-month running mean of a CDAS/Reanalysis-derived (a) Southern Oscillation Index (RSOI), (b) standardized pressure anomalies near Tahiti (solid) and Darwin (dashed), (c) an equatorial SOI ([EPAC] - [INDO]), and (d) standardized equatorial pressure anomalies for (EPAC) (solid) and (INDO) (dashed). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The equatorial SOI is calculated as the normalized difference between the standardized anomalies averaged between 5°N–5°S, 80°W–130°W (EPAC) and 5°N–5°S, 90°E–140°E (INDO).




FIGURE T3. Five-month running mean (solid lines) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the 200-hPa zonal wind anomalies averaged over the area 5N-5S, 165W-110W (top), the 500-hPa virtual temperature anomalies averaged over the latitude band 20N-20S (middle), and the equatorial zonally-averaged zonal wind anomalies at 30-hPa (red) and 50-hPa (blue) (bottom). In the top panel, anomalies are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. The x-axis labels are centered on January.




FIGURE T4. Five-month running mean (solid line) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the standardized 850-hPa zonal wind anomaly index in the latitude belt 5N-5S for 135E-180 (top), 175W-140W (middle) and 135W-120W (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The x-axis labels are centered on January. Positive (negative) values indicate easterly (westerly) anomalies.




FIGURE T5. Nino region indices, calculated as the area-averaged sea surface temperature anomalies (C) for the specified region. The Nino 1+2 region (top) covers the extreme eastern equatorial Pacific between 0-10S, 90W-80W. The Nino-3 region (2nd from top) spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nino 3.4 region 3rd from top) spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. The Nino 4 region (bottom) spans the date line and covers the area 5N-5S, 160E-150W. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means (*Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323*). Monthly values of each index are also displayed in Table 2.




FIGURE T6. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1.0 hPa (top) and 0.5 hPa (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T7. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms⁻¹. Blue shading and dashed contours indicate easterlies (top) and easterly anomalies (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T8. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 10 Wm⁻². Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative OLR anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T9. Time-longitude section of monthly mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 1C (top) and 0.5C (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).





FIGURE T10. Time-longitude section of anomalous sea level pressure (hPa) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanaysis). Contour interval is 1 hPa. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.



Data updated through January 2014 FIGURE T11. Time-longitude section of anomalous outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 15 Wm⁻². Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T12. Time-longitude section of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 3 x 10⁶ m²s⁻¹. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T13. Time-longitude section of anomalous 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms⁻¹. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally by using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T14. Equatorial time-height section of anomalous zonally-averaged zonal wind (m s⁻¹) (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 10 ms⁻¹. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T15. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20C isotherm averaged between 5N-5S in the Pacific Ocean. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Behringer, D. W., and Y. Xue, 2004: Evaluation of the global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean. AMS 84th Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, 11-15). The contour interval is 10 m. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T16. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20°C isotherm for JAN 2014. Contour interval is 40 m (top) and 10 m (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.




FIGURE T17. Equatorial depth-longitude section of ocean temperature (top) and ocean temperature anomalies (bottom) for JAN 2014. Contour interval is 1°C. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.





FIGURE T18. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).






FIGURE T19. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) (CDAS/Reanalysis). In top panel, 1000 hPa has been subtracted from contour labels, contour interval is 2 hPa, and values below 1000 hPa are indicated by dashed contours. In bottom panel, anomaly contour interval is 1 hPa and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T20. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanaysis) for JAN 2014. Contour interval for isotachs is 4 ms⁻¹ (top) and 2 ms⁻¹ (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T21. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Contour interval for isotachs is 15 ms⁻¹ (top) and 5 ms⁻¹ (bottom). Anomalies are departures from 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T22. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa streamfunction (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 20 x 10⁶ m²s⁻¹ (top) and 5 x 10⁶ m²s⁻¹ (bottom). Negative (positive) values are indicated by dashed (solid) lines. The non-divergent component of the flow is directed along the contours with speed proportional to the gradient. Thus, high (low) stream function corresponds to high (low) geopotential height in the Northern Hemisphere and to low (high) geopotential height in the Southern Hemisphere. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T23. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa divergence (CDAS/Reanalysis). Divergence and anomalous divergence are shaded blue. Convergence and anomalous convergence are shaded orange. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T24. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa velocity potential (10⁶m²s) and divergent wind (CDAS/ Reanalysis). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T25. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation for JAN 2014 (NOAA 18 AVHRR IR window channel measurements by NESDIS/ORA). OLR contour interval is 20 Wm⁻² with values greater than 280 Wm⁻² indicated by dashed contours. Anomaly contour interval is 15 Wm⁻² with positive values indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T26. Estimated total (top) and anomalous (bottom) rainfall (mm) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/ Imager (SSM/S) precipitation index (Ferraro 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16715-16735). Anomalies are computed from the SSM/I 1987-2010 base period monthly means. Anomalies have been smoothed for display purposes.




FIGURE T27. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) cloud liquid water (g m⁻²) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/ Imager (SSM/I) (Weng et al 1997: *J. Climate*, **10**, 1086-1098). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T28. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) vertically integrated water vapor or precipitable water (kg m⁻²) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et. al, 1996: *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **77**, 891-905). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T29. Pressure-longitude section (100E-80W) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T30. Pressure-longitude section (80W-100E) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T31. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged over the west Pacific sector (120E-170E). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.






FIGURE T32. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged over the central Pacific sector (130W-180W). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

Tropical Pacific Drifting Buoys R. Lumpkin/M. Pazos, AOML, Miami


During January 2014, 233 satellite-tracked surface drifting buoys, 86% with subsurface drogues attached for measuring mixed layer currents, were reporting from the tropical Pacific. In the eastern tropics at 0-4N, the drifters measured eastward anomalies of 10-30 cm/s that indicated a stronger than usual NECC and weaker than usual NEC, a pattern also seen last month. Elsewhere, anomalies were weaker or the drifters did not sample.






Middle: Monthly mean currents calculated from all buoys 1993-2002 (grav), and currents measured by the drogued









NEAR-SURFACE CURRENTS AND SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE : JANUARY 2014

FIGURE A1.3. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for JAN 2014 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/ Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.





2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and FIGURE A1.4. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for JAN 2014 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.

Forecast Forum

The canonical correlation analysis (CCA) forecast of SST in the central Pacific (Barnett et al. 1988, *Science*, **241**, 192196; Barnston and Ropelewski 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 13161345), is shown in **Figs. F1 and F2.** This forecast is produced routinely by the Prediction Branch of the Climate Prediction Center. The predictions from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03) are presented in **Figs. F3 and F4a, F4b**. Predictions from the Markov model (Xue, et al. 2000: *J. Climate*, **13**, 849871) are shown in **Figs. F5 and F6**. Predictions from the latest version of the LDEO model (Chen et al. 2000: *Geophys. Res. Let.*, **27**, 25852587) are shown in **Figs. F7 and F8**. Predictions using linear inverse modeling (Penland and Magorian 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 10671076) are shown in **Figs. F9 and F10**. Predictions from the Scripps / Max Planck Institute (MPI) hybrid coupled model (Barnett et al. 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 15451566) are shown in **Fig. F11**. Predictions from the ENSOCLIPER statistical model (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633652) are shown in **Fig. F12**. Niño 3.4 predictions are summarized in **Fig. F13**, provided by the Forecasting and Prediction Research Group of the IRI.

The CPC and the contributors to the **Forecast Forum** caution potential users of this predictive information that they can expect only modest skill.

ENSO Alert System Status: Not Active

Outlook

ENSO-neutral is expected to continue through the Northern Hemisphere spring 2014.

Discussion

While remaining ENSO-neutral, January was characterized by the periodic emergence of belowaverage sea surface temperatures (SSTs) across the tropical Pacific Ocean (Fig. T18). The monthly Niño Niño-3 and Niño-3.4 indices were near -0.5° C, while the Niño-4 and Niño-1+2 indices stayed within $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C (Table T2). This recent cooling was associated with the upwelling phase of an oceanic Kelvin wave, which was reflected in a dip in the oceanic heat content and below-average subsurface temperatures across the eastern Pacific (Fig. T17). Upper and lower-level winds were near average across most of the Pacific, except for the emergence of strong westerly winds in the western part of the basin toward the end of the month (Figs. T20, T21). Convection became more enhanced over eastern Indonesia and the western Pacific, and remained suppressed over the central equatorial Pacific (Fig. T25). Collectively, these atmospheric and oceanic conditions reflect ENSO-neutral.

Nearly all models forecast the persistence of ENSO-neutral (Niño-3.4 index between -0.5°C and 0.5°C) through the Northern Hemisphere spring 2014, but afterwards, an increasing number of models suggest the possible onset of El Niño (Figs. F1-F13). Strong surface westerly winds in the western Pacific and the slight eastward shift of above-average temperatures in the subsurface western Pacific potentially portend warming in the coming months. However, the spring is also historically associated with lower forecast skill, so the predicted chance of El Niño developing after the spring is not much different than that of ENSO-neutral. The consensus forecast is for ENSO-neutral to continue through the Northern Hemisphere spring 2014.

Weekly updates of oceanic and atmospheric conditions are available on the Climate Prediction Center homepage (<u>El Niño/La Niña Current Conditions and Expert Discussions</u>).




FIGURE F1. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly prediction for the central Pacific (5°N to 5°S, 120°W to 170°W (Barnston and Ropelewski, 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 1316-1345). The three plots on the left hand side are, from top to bottom, the 1-season, 2-season, and 3-season lead forecasts. The solid line in each forecast represents the observed SST standardized anomaly through the latest month. The small squares at the mid-points of the forecast bars represent the real-time CCA predictions based on the anomalies of quasi-global sea level pressure and on the anomalies of tropical Pacific SST, depth of the 20°C isotherm and sea level height over the prior four seasons. The vertical lines represent the one standard deviation error bars for the predictions based on past performance. The three plots on the right side are skills, corresponding to the predicted and observed SST. The skills are derived from cross-correlation tests from 1956 to present. These skills show a clear annual cycle and are inversely proportional to the length of the error bars depicted in the forecast time series.




FIGURE F2. Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) forecasts of sea-surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 120W-170W) for the upcoming five consecutive 3-month periods. Forecasts are expressed as standardized SST anomalies. The CCA predictions are based on anomaly patterns of SST, depth of the 20C isotherm, sea level height, and sea level pressure. Small squares at the midpoints of the vertical forecast bars represent the CCA predictions, and the bars show the one (thick) and two (thin) standard deviation errors. The solid continuous line represents the observed standardized three-month mean SST anomaly in the Nino 3.4 region up to the most recently available data.




FIGURE F3. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature (left) and anomalies (right) from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. Contour interval is 1°C, with additional contours for 0.5°C and -0.5°C. Negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours.






FIGURE F4. Predicted and observed sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies for the Nino 3 (top) and Nino 3.4 (bottom) regions from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. The ensemble mean of all 40 forecast members is shown by the blue line, individual members are shown by thin lines, and the observation is indicated by the black line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nno 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.






FIGURE F5. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature anomalies from the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). The forecast is initiated in JAN 2014. Contour interval is 0.3C and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology.





LDEO FORECASTS OF SST AND WIND STRESS ANOMALIES




FIGURE F7. Forecasts of the tropical Pacific Predicted SST (shading) and vector wind anomalies for the next 3 seasons based on the LDEO model. Each forecast represents an ensemble average of 3 sets of predictions initialized during the last three consecutive months (see Figure F8).




FIGURE F8. LDEO forecasts of SST anomalies for the Nino 3 region using wind stresses obtained from (top) QuikSCAT, (middle) NCEP, and (bottom) Florida State Univ. (FSU), along with SSTs (obtained from NCEP), and sea surface height data (obtained from TOPEX/POSEIDON) data. Each thin blue line represents a 12-month forecast, initialized one month apart for the past 24 months. Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the thick red line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W.




FIGURE F9. Forecast of tropical SST anomalies from the Linear Inverse Modeling technique of Penland and Magorian (1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 1067-1076). The contour interval is 0.3C. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1981-2010 climatology and are projected onto 20 leading EOFs.





FIGURE F10. Predictions of Niño 3.4 SSTA (blue solid line) and verification (solid red line). The Niño3.4 Index was calculated in the area 6N-6S, 170W-120W. The 1980-2010 climatology was subtracted from ERSST data between 1950 and 2010, after which they were projected onto 20 EOFs containing 90% of thevariance. Significant 1950-2010 trends were subtracted from the corresponding PCs, the forecast was made on the detrended anomalies, after which the trend was added to the forecast. The dotted lines indicate the one standard deviation confidence interval for the forecasts based on a perfect adherence to assumption.



SIO/MPI HCM-T3.0 Tropical SST Anomaly Forecast, 03 Feb 2014

FIGURE F11. SST anomaly forecast for the equatorial Pacific from the Hybrid Coupled Model (HCM) developed by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the Max-Plank Institut fuer Meteorlogie.




FIGURE F12. ENSO-CLIPER statistical model forecasts of three-month average sea surface temperature anomalies (green lines, deg. C) in (top panel) the Nino 4 region (5N-5S, 160E-150W), (second panel) the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 170W-120W), (third panel) the Nino 3 region (5N-5S, 150W-90W), and (fourth panel) the Nino 1+2 region (0-10S, 90W-80W) (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633-652). Bottom panel shows predictions of the three-month standardized Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, green line). Horizontal bars on green line indicate the adjusted root mean square error (RMSE). The Observed three-month average values are indicated by the thick blue line. SST anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means, and the SOI is calculated from the 1951-1980 base period means.




FIGURE F13. Time series of predicted sea surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (deg. C) from various dynamical and statistical models for nine overlapping 3-month periods. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. Figure provided by the International Research Institute (IRI).

Extratropical Highlights – January 2014

1. Northern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa circulation during January featured a persistent zonal wave-2 pattern. This pattern included a strong ridge in western North America and another over Greenland and Scandinavia. It also included trough extending from central Asia to the eastern North Pacific and another extending from the eastern U.S. to Europe (Fig. E9). Regional aspects of this circulation included highly amplified wave patterns across North America and Europe, and amplified jet streams across both the central North Pacific and North Atlantic Oceans (Fig. T21).

Over the Pacific/ North American sector, the extensive 500-hPa height anomalies projected onto the strong positive phase (+1.6) of the Tropical/ Northern Hemisphere (TNH) teleconnection pattern. Over the Atlantic and Eurasian sectors, the 500-hPa height anomalies projected onto the strong positive phase of the East Atlantic (EA, +1.4) and Scandinavia (+1.8) patterns, and also onto the negative phase of the East Atlantic/ West Russia (-1.3) pattern (Table E1, Fig. E7). Strong positive TNH and EA patterns were also evident last month.

The main land-surface temperature signals during January included well above-average temperatures across Alaska, western Canada, the western U.S., Europe, and northern China, and belowaverage temperatures in the eastern half of the U.S. and across central Siberia (Fig. E1). The main precipitation signals included below-average totals along the west coast of North America, the southern and eastern U.S., Scandinavia and northern Russia, and eastern China (Fig. E3).

a. North Pacific/ North America

The mean 500-hPa circulation during January featured an extensive trough across the high latitudes of the North Pacific, and a large-amplitude wave pattern across North America (Fig. E9). This circulation projected onto the positive phase of the TNH pattern (+1.6) (Table E1, Fig. E7). A similar large-scale anomaly pattern was also present last month.

Over the North Pacific, the January circulation was associated with an enhanced east Asian jet stream that extended farther east than normal (Fig. T21). Over North America, the wave pattern featured an amplified ridge in the west, which extended into the polar region, and a very strong Hudson Bay trough (Fig. E9). This pattern contributed to exceptionally warm conditions in Alaska, western Canada, and the western U.S. and to below-average temperatures across the eastern half of the U.S. (Fig. E1). The most significant positive departures were observed in Alaska and western Canada, where mean temperatures averaged more than 5oC above average and were in the upper 90th percentile of occurrences.

For the central and eastern U.S., exceptionally cold temperatures throughout the month resulted from a strong northwesterly flow of arctic air into the middle of the country. Monthly temperatures throughout these regions were in the lowest 30th percentile of occurrences.

The strong ridge over western North America also contributed to a continuation of exceptionally dry conditions in the western U.S., in the region immediately downstream of the mean ridge axis (Fig. E3). Anomalous sinking motion and below-average precipitation has prevailed in the western U.S. since October 2013. The Pacific Northwest has recorded totals in the lowest 20th percentile of occurrences throughout this 4-month period, while southern California has recorded well below-average precipitation during the last two months (Fig. E5). These ongoing precipitation deficits have

contributed to a worsening of drought conditions from Washington State to southern California. According to the U.S. Drought Monitor (http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu), much of California and northwestern Nevada reported either extreme or exceptional drought by the end of the month. Most of Oregon and southern Idaho reported severe drought, and Washington State and northern Idaho reported moderate drought.

Anomalously dry conditions also overspread the Plains states during January, with area-average totals in the lowest 20th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E4). By the end of the month moderate or severe drought persisted from Texas to northern Nebraska, with isolated regions of extreme drought also present.

In the mid-western U.S., near- or above-average precipitation during the last five months has reduced the severity of ongoing drought conditions (Fig. E5). However, the U.S. Drought Monitor indicates that moderate or severe drought still persisted in large portions of the upper Midwest at the end of January.

b. North Atlantic/ Eurasia

The mean 500-hPa circulation during January featured above-average heights across the central North Atlantic, Greenland and Scandinavia, and below-average heights over eastern Europe (Fig. E9). This pattern projected onto the positive phases of the East Atlantic (+1.4) and Scandinavia (+1.8) teleconnection patterns, and also onto the negative phase of the East Atlantic/ west Russia (-1.3) pattern (Table E1, Fig. E7).

This circulation was associated with an enhanced North Atlantic jet stream that extended from the eastern U.S. to central Europe. It was also associated with an enhanced flow of marine air into Europe, and with anomalous southerly flow across Scandinavia (Fig. E10). These conditions contributed to above-average temperatures across Europe, with much of the continent recording temperatures in the upper 70th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E1). In contrast, precipitation within and downstream of the strong ridge axis was well below-average, with the area from central Scandinavia to north-central Russia recording totals in the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E3).

2. Southern Hemisphere

The mean 500-hPa circulation during January featured above-average heights over the high latitudes of the central South Pacific, southern Australia, and the area south of Africa, and below-average heights over the high latitudes of the South Atlantic, the western Indian Ocean and New Zealand (Fig. E15). In Australia, precipitation was above- (below) average in the west (east) (Fig. E3). These areas coincided with the upstream and downstream portions of the mean ridge axis, respectively.

The South African rainy season lasts from October to April. During January, much of the interior region and Madagascar recorded totals in the upper 70th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E3). For the entire South African monsoon region, area-averaged totals were in the upper 70th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E4). To date, the 2013-14 rainy season has produced above-average totals during October, December, and January, and below-average totals in November.

TELECONNECTION INDICES

| | | North Atlantic | | Z | North Pacific | | | EURASIA | |
|---------------|------|----------------|------|-------|---------------|-----|---------------|---------|--------|
| Month | NAO | EA | WP | EP-NP | PNA | HNT | EATL/ WRUS | SCAND | POLEUR |
| JAN 14 | -0.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 | -1.3 | 1.7 | -0.8 |
| DEC 13 | 0.8 | 1.2 | -2.0 | | -1.2 | 1.8 | -0.4 | -0.7 | -0.8 |
| NOV13 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | -1.1 | | -0.9 | -0.7 | 2.6 |
| OCT 13 | -0.9 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 1.0 | -0.2 | | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| SEP 13 | 0.4 | -0.6 | 1.9 | -1.4 | 0.4 | | -0.6 | 0.4 | -1.6 |
| AUG 13 | 1.1 | 0.3 | -0.2 | -1.1 | -0.1 | | -1.9 | -0.8 | 0.0 |
| JUL 13 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 6.0- | 6.0 | -0.7 | | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| JUN 13 | 0.8 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 1.7 | -0.4 | | -2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| MAY 13 | 0.6 | 0.1 | -1.1 | -0.3 | -0.2 | | -2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| APR 13 | 0.6 | 1.3 | -1.9 | 1.2 | -1.8 | | -0.4 | -1.1 | -1.6 |
| MAR 13 | -2.1 | -0.2 | 9.0 | 0.7 | -0.3 | | 2.3 | -0.6 | -1.9 |
| FEB 13 | -1.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | -0.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | -1.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| JAN 13 | -0.1 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | -1.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE E1-Standardized amplitudes of selected Northern Hemisphere teleconnection patterns for the most recent thirteen months (computational procedures are described in Fig. E7). Pattern names and abbreviations are North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO); East Atlantic pattern (EA); West Pacific pattern (WP); East Pacific - North Pacific pattern (EP-NP); Pacific/North American pattern (PNA); Tropical/Northern Hemisphere pattern (TNH); East Atlantic/Western Russia pattern (EATL/WRUS-called Eurasia-2 pattern by Barnston and Livezey, 1987, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **115**, 1083-1126); Scandanavia pattern (SCAND-called Eurasia-1 pattern by Barnston and Livezey 1987); and Polar Eurasia pattern (POLEUR). No value is plotted for calendar months in which the pattern does not appear as a leading mode.




FIGURE E1. Surface temperature anomalies (°C, top) and surface temperature expressed as percentiles of the normal (Gaussian) distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for JAN 2014. Analysis is based on station data over land and on SST data over the oceans (top). Anomalies for station data are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means, while SST anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology. (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323). Regions with insufficient data for analysis in both figures are indicated by shading in the top figure only.




FIGURE E2. Monthly global (top), Northern Hemisphere (middle), and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) surface temperature anomalies (land only, °C) from January 1990 - present, computed as departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.




FIGURE E3. Anomalous precipitation (mm, top) and precipitation percentiles based on a Gamma distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for JAN 2014. Data are obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). Contours are drawn at 200, 100, 50, 25, -55, -100, and -200 mm in top panel. Percentiles are not plotted in regions where mean monthly precipitation is <5mm/month.




FIGURE E4. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.







FIGURE E5. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.





Monthly Teleconnection Indices



Data updated through January 2014

FIGURE E7. Standardized monthly Northern Hemisphere teleconnection indices. The teleconnection patterns are calculated from a Rotated Principal Component Analysis (RPCA) applied to monthly standardized 500-hPa height anomalies during the 1981-2010 base period. To obtain these patterns, ten leading un-rotated modes are first calculated for each calendar month by using the monthly height anomaly fields for the three-month period centered on that month: [i.e., The July modes are calculated from the June, July, and August standardized monthly anomalies]. A Varimax spatial rotation of the ten leading un-rotated modes for each calendar month results in 120 rotated modes (12 months x 10 modes per month) that yield ten primary teleconnection patterns. The teleconnection indices are calculated by first projecting the standardized monthly anomalies onto the teleconnection patterns corresponding to that month (eight or nine teleconnection patterns are seen in each calendar month). The indices are then solved for simultaneously using a Least-Squares approach. In this approach, the indices are the solution to the Least-Squares system of equations which explains the maximum spatial structure of the observed height anomaly field during the month. The indices are then standardized for each pattern and calendar month independently. No index value exists when the teleconnection pattern does not appear as one of the ten leading rotated EOF's valid for that month.




FIGURE E8. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E9. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E10. Northern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms⁻¹. Values greater than 30 ms⁻¹ (left) and 10 ms⁻¹ (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

January 2014 500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E11. Northern Hemisphere percentage of days during JAN 2014 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-




FIGURE E12. Northern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for JAN 2014 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°N. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.




FIGURE E13. Northern Hemisphere 500-hPa heights (thick contours, interval is 6 dam) overlaid with (Top) Standard deviation of 10-day high-pass (HP) filtered height anomalies and (Bottom) Normalized anomalous variance of 10-day HP filtered height anomalies. A Lanczos filter is used to calculate the HP filtered anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 daily means.




FIGURE E14. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure(CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E15. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E16. Southern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JAN 2014. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms⁻¹. Values greater than 30 ms⁻¹ (left) and 10 ms⁻¹ (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

January 2014 500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E17. Southern Hemisphere percentage of days during JAN 2014 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-




FIGURE E18. Southern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for JAN 2014 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°S. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.




FIGURE S1. Stratospheric height anomalies (m) at selected levels for JAN 2014. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S2. Height-longitude sections during JAN 2014 for height anomalies (contour) and temperature anomalies (shaded). In both panels, positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading, while negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval for height anomalies is 60 m and for temperature anomalies is 2°C. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S3. Seasonal mean temperature anomalies at 50-hPa for the latitude bands 65°–90°N, 25°–65°N, 25°N–25°S, 25°–65°S, 65°–90°S. The seasonal mean is comprised of the most recent three months. Zonal anomalies are taken from the mean of the entire data set.







FIGURE S4. Daily mean temperatures at 10-hPa and 2-hPa (thick line) in the region 65°–90°N and 65°–90°S for the past two years. Dashed line depicts the 1981-2010 base period daily mean. Thin solid lines depict the daily extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.




79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15




79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15




79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15



79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15



79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 FIGURE S5. Monthly ozone anomalies (percent) from the long term monthly means for five zones: 50N-30N (NH mid-latitudes), 25N-10N (NH tropical surf zone), 10N-10S (Equatorial-QBO zone), 10S-25S (SH tropical surf zone), and 30S-50S (SH mid-latitudes). The long term monthly means are determined from the entire data set



JANUARY PERCENT DIFF (2014 - AVG[79-86])

FIGURE S6. Northern (top) and Southern (bottom) Hemisphere total ozone anomaly (percent difference from monthly mean for the period 1979-1986). The region near the winter pole has no SBUV/2 data.




FIGURE S7. Daily vertical component of EP flux (which is proportional to the poleward transport of heat or upward transport of potential energy by planetary wave) at 100 hPa averaged over (top) 30°N–90°N and (bottom) 30°S–90°S for JAN 2014. The EP flux unit (kg m⁻¹ s⁻²) has been scaled by multiplying a factor of the Brunt Vaisala frequency divided by the Coriolis parameter and the radius of the earth. The letter 'M' indicates the current monthly mean value and the letter 'C' indicates the climatological mean value. Additionally, the normalized departures from the monthly climatological EP flux values are shown.




FIGURE S8. Daily time series showing the size of the SH polar vortex (representing the area enclosed by the 32 PVU contour on the 450K isentropic surface), and the areal coverage of temperatures < -78C on the 450K isentropic surface.




FIGURE A2.1. (a) Daily amplitudes of the Arctic Oscillation (AO) the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), and the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. The pattern amplitudes for the AO, (NAO, PNA) are calculated by projecting the daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height anomaly field onto the leading EOF obtained from standardized time- series of daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height for all months of the year. The base period is 1981–2010.

(b-d) Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for selected periods during JAN 2014 are shown in the remaining 3 panels. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 8 dam. Dark (light) shading corresponds to anomalies greater than 50 m (less than -50 m). Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.

SSM/I Snow Cover for Jan 2014 anomaly based on departure from 1987-2010 baseline

Northern Hemisphere Northern Hemisphere Anomaly 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 25 15 -35-25 35 percent Southern Hemisphere Southern Hemisphere Anomaly 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 25 35 35 25 15 5 5 percent

FIGURE A2.2. SSM/I derived snow cover frequency (%) (left) and snow cover anomaly (%) (right) for the month of JAN 2014 based on 1987 - 2010 base period for the Northern Hemisphere (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom). It is generated using the algorithm described by Ferraro et. al, 1996, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., vol 77, 891-905.