CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN

CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER

FEBRUARY 2012

NEAR REAL-TIME OCEAN / ATMOSPHERE

Monitoring, Assessments, and Prediction

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service

National Centers for Environmental Prediction

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN

CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER
Attn: Climate Diagnostics Bulletin
W/NP52, Room 605, WWBG
Camp Springs, MD 20746-4304

Chief Editor: Gerald D. Bell
Editors: Wei Shi, Michelle L'Heureux, and Michael Halpert
Bulletin Production: Wei Shi

ExternalCollaborators:

Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS)
Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (CIRA)
Earth & Space Research
International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI)
Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO)
Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO)
NOAA-CIRES, Climate Diagnostics Center
NOAA-AOML, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory
NOAA-NESDIS-STAR, Center for Satellite Applications and Research
NOAA-NDBC, National Data Buoy Center
Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Software: Most of the bulletin figures generated at CPC are created using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS).

- Climate Diagnostics Bulletin available on the World Wide Web

The CDB is available on the World Wide Web. The address of the online version of the CDB is:

http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/CDB

If you have any problems accessing the bulletin, contact Dr. Wei Shi by E-mail:

Wei.Shi@noaa.gov

Table of Contents

FIGURE

Tropical Highlights - February 2012

La Niña weakened during February 2012 as reflected by the development of positive sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies in the eastern equatorial Pacific and by a decreased magnitude of the negative SST anomalies in the central equatorial Pacific (Fig. T18, Table T2). The latest monthly Niño indices were -0.7°C for the Niño 3.4 region and +0.2°C for the Niño 1+2 region (Table T2, Fig. T5). Consistent with these conditions, the oceanic thermocline (measured by the depth of the 20°C isotherm) remained shallower than average in the east-central equatorial Pacific (Figs. T15, T16), although corresponding sub-surface temperatures were only 1-3°C below average (Fig. T17). These departures are several degrees warmer than the large negative values seen during November-January.

Also during February, the equatorial low-level easterly trade winds were stronger than average over the central and west-central equatorial Pacific (Table T1, Fig. T20). Convection remained suppressed in the western and central equatorial Pacific and enhanced across the Indian Ocean and the Philippines (Figs. T25, E3). Collectively, these oceanic and atmospheric anomalies reflect a weakening of La Niña conditions.

For the latest status of the ENSO cycle see the ENSO Diagnostic Discussion at:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/enso_advisory/index.html

Month	SLP Anomalies		Tahiti minus Darwin SOI	850-hPa Zonal Wind Index			200-hPa Wind Index	OLR Index
	Tahiti	Darwin		5N-5S 135E-180	5N-5S 175W-140W	5N-5S 135W-120W	5N-5S 165W-110W	5N-5S 160E-160W
FEB 12	1.2	0.4	0.5	1.7	0.4	-2.9	0.7	1.9
JAN 12	1.4	-0.7	1.1	1.0	0.9	-1.1	2.3	1.8
DEC 11	2.2	-2.4	2.5	2.3	1.3	-0.4	2.4	1.7
NOV 11	1.7	-0.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.4	1.0
OCT11	0.9	-0.5	0.8	0.9	0.1	-0.8	-0.2	1.1
SEP 11	2.3	0.4	1.0	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.9	0.3
AUG 11	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.5	-0.4	0.4	0.3
JUL 11	1.6	-0.2	1.0	0.8	0.6	-1.0	1.4	0.2
JUN 11	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.6	-0.5	1.2	-0.1
MAY 11	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	-1.1	1.7	0.2
APR 11	2.5	-1.0	1.9	1.5	0.7	-0.9	1.9	1.1
MAR 11	2.6	-2.0	2.5	1.6	1.0	-0.1	2.0	1.7
FEB 11	3.2	-1.8	2.7	0.9	0.9	-1.1	2.1	2.3

TABLE T1 - Atmospheric index values for the most recent 12 months. Indices are standardized by the mean annual standard deviation, except for the Tahiti and Darwin SLP anomalies which are in units of hPa. Positive (negative) values of 200-hPa zonal wind index imply westerly (easterly) anomalies. Positive (negative) values of 850-hPa zonal wind indices imply easterly (westerly) anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.

Month	PACIFIC SST								ATLANTIC SST				GLOBAL	
	Niño 1+2 0-10S 90W-80W		Niño 3 5N-5S 150W-90W		Niño 3.4 5N-5S 170W-120W		Niño 4 5N-5S 160E-150W		N.ATL 5N-20N 60W-30W		S. ATL 0-20S 30W-10E		TROPICS 10N-10S 0-360	
FEB 12	0.2	26.3	-0.2	26.2	-0.7	26.0	-0.9	27.2	0.0	25.6	-0.7	25.9	-0.2	27.7
JAN 12	-0.8	23.7	-0.8	24.8	-1.1	25.5	-1.2	27.1	0.2	26.2	-0.9	24.7	-0.3	27.3
DEC 11	-1.1	21.8	-1.0	24.2	-1.0	25.5	-1.1	27.4	0.4	27.2	-0.8	24.0	-0.3	27.4
NOV 11	-0.8	20.8	-1.1	23.9	-1.1	25.6	-0.8	27.9	0.2	27.8	-0.2	23.7	-0.2	27.5
OCT 11	-0.6	20.2	-1.0	24.0	-1.0	25.7	-0.7	27.9	0.2	28.3	0.0	23.4	-0.2	27.3
SEP 11	-0.6	19.7	-0.6	24.2	-0.7	26.0	-0.6	28.1	0.3	28.4	0.0	23.0	-0.2	27.1
AUG 11	0.0	20.6	-0.4	24.6	-0.6	26.2	-0.4	28.3	0.5	28.2	0.2	23.2	-0.1	27.1
JUL 11	0.5	22.1	0.1	25.7	-0.2	27.0	-0.3	28.5	0.4	27.7	0.2	23.9	0.0	27.5
JUN 11	0.9	23.8	0.1	26.6	-0.2	27.5	-0.4	28.5	0.8	27.6	0.0	25.0	0.0	28.0
MAY 11	0.8	25.0	-0.1	27.0	-0.5	27.4	-0.5	28.3	0.5	26.9	0.4	26.6	-0.1	28.4
APR 11	0.2	25.8	-0.3	27.2	-0.8	27.0	-0.7	27.9	0.4	26.4	0.5	27.6	-0.2	28.4
MAR 11	-0.4	26.2	-0.8	26.4	-1.0	26.2	-0.8	27.4	0.4	26.0	0.5	27.6	-0.2	28.0
FEB 11	0.1	26.2	-0.9	25.5	-1.3	25.4	-1.2	26.9	0.5	26.1	0.4	27.0	-0.3	27.6

TABLE T2. Mean and anomalous sea surface temperature (°C) for the most recent 12 months. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).



FIGURE T1. Five-month running mean of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) (top), sea-level pressure anomaly (hPa) at Darwin and Tahiti (middle), and outgoing longwave radiation anomaly (OLR) averaged over the area 5N-5S, 160E-160W (bottom). Anomalies in the top and middle panels are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies in the bottom panel are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. Individual monthly values are indicated by “x”s in the top and bottom panels. The x-axis labels are centered on July.



FIGURE T2. Three-month running mean of a CDAS/Reanalysis-derived (a) Southern Oscillation Index (RSOI), (b) standardized pressure anomalies near Tahiti (solid) and Darwin (dashed), (c) an equatorial SOI ([EPAC] - [INDO]), and (d) standardized equatorial pressure anomalies for (EPAC) (solid) and (INDO) (dashed). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The equatorial SOI is calculated as the normalized difference between the standardized anomalies averaged between 5°N–5°S, 80°W–130°W (EPAC) and 5°N–5°S, 90°E–140°E (INDO).



FIGURE T3. Five-month running mean (solid lines) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the 200-hPa zonal wind anomalies averaged over the area 5N-5S, 165W-110W (top), the 500-hPa virtual temperature anomalies averaged over the latitude band 20N-20S (middle), and the equatorial zonally-averaged zonal wind anomalies at 30-hPa (red) and 50-hPa (blue) (bottom). In the top panel, anomalies are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. The x-axis labels are centered on January.



FIGURE T4. Five-month running mean (solid line) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the standardized 850-hPa zonal wind anomaly index in the latitude belt 5N-5S for 135E-180 (top), 175W-140W (middle) and 135W-120W (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation.The x-axis labels are centered on January. Positive (negative) values indicate easterly (westerly) anomalies.



FIGURE T5. Nino region indices, calculated as the area-averaged sea surface temperature anomalies (C) for the specified region. The Nino 1+2 region (top) covers the extreme eastern equatorial Pacific between 0-10S, 90W-80W. The Nino-3 region (2nd from top) spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nino 3.4 region 3rd from top) spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. The Nino 4 region (bottom) spans the date line and covers the area 5N-5S, 160E-150W. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means *(Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323)*. Monthly values of each index are also displayed in Table 2.



FIGURE T6. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1.0 hPa (top) and 0.5 hPa (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.



FIGURE T7. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms^{-1}. Blue shading and dashed contours indicate easterlies (top) and easterly anomalies (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.



FIGURE T8. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 10 Wm^{-2}. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative OLR anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.



FIGURE T9. Time-longitude section of monthly mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 1C (top) and 0.5C (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).



FIGURE T10. Time-longitude section of anomalous sea level pressure (hPa) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanaysis). Contour interval is 1 hPa. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.



FIGURE T11. Time-longitude section of anomalous outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 15 Wm^{-2}. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.



FIGURE T12. Time-longitude section of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 3 x 10^6 m^2s^{-1}. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.



FIGURE T13. Time-longitude section of anomalous 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms^{-1}. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally by using a 3-point running average.



FIGURE T14. Equatorial time-height section of anomalous zonally-averaged zonal wind (m s^{-1}) (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 10 ms^{-1}. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T15. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20C isotherm averaged between 5N-5S in the Pacific Ocean. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Behringer, D. W., and Y. Xue, 2004: Evaluation of the global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean. AMS 84th Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, 11-15). The contour interval is 10 m. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.



FIGURE T16. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20°C isotherm for FEB 2012. Contour interval is 40 m (top) and 10 m (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.



FIGURE T17. Equatorial depth-longitude section of ocean temperature (top) and ocean temperature anomalies (bottom) for FEB 2012. Contour interval is 1°C. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.



FIGURE T18. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).



FIGURE T19. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) (CDAS/Reanalysis). In top panel, 1000 hPa has been subtracted from contour labels, contour interval is 2 hPa, and values below 1000 hPa are indicated by dashed contours. In bottom panel, anomaly contour interval is 1 hPa and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T20. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanaysis) for FEB 2012. Contour interval for isotachs is 4 ms^{-1} (top) and 2 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T21. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Contour interval for isotachs is 15 ms^{-1} (top) and 5 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T22. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa streamfunction (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 20 x 10^6 m^2s^{-1} (top) and 5 x 10^6 m^2s^{-1} (bottom). Negative (positive) values are indicated by dashed (solid) lines. The non-divergent component of the flow is directed along the contours with speed proportional to the gradient. Thus, high (low) stream function corresponds to high (low) geopotential height in the Northern Hemisphere and to low (high) geopotential height in the Southern Hemisphere. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T23. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa divergence (CDAS/Reanalysis). Divergence and anomalous divergence are shaded blue. Convergence and anomalous convergence are shaded orange. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T24. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa velocity potential ($10^6 m^2 s$) and divergent wind (CDAS/Reanalysis). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T25. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation for FEB 2012 (NOAA 18 AVHRR IR window channel measurements by NESDIS/ORA). OLR contour interval is 20 Wm^{-2} with values greater than 280 Wm^{-2} indicated by dashed contours. Anomaly contour interval is 15 Wm^{-2} with positive values indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T26. Estimated total (top) and anomalous (bottom) rainfall (mm) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/S) precipitation index (Ferraro 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16715-16735). Anomalies are computed from the SSM/I 1987-2010 base period monthly means. Anomalies have been smoothed for display purposes.



FIGURE T27. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) cloud liquid water ($g\ m^{-2}$) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/ Imager (SSM/I) (Weng et al 1997: *J. Climate*, **10**, 1086-1098). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.



FIGURE T28. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) vertically integrated water vapor or precipitable water (kg m^{-2}) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et. al, 1996: *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **77**, 891-905). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.



FIGURE T29. Pressure-longitude section (100E-80W) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10^{-6} s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T30. Pressure-longitude section (80W-100E) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10^{-6} s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T31. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the west Pacific sector (120E-170E). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE T32. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the central Pacific sector (130W-180W). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

Tropical Pacific Drifting Buoys R. Lumpkin/M. Pazos, AOML, Miami

During February 2012, 282 satellite-tracked surface drifting buoys, 44% with subsurface drogues attached for measuring mixed layer currents, were reporting from the tropical Pacific. Strong eastward anomalies of O(50 cm/s) were seen by a few near-equatorial drifters near 110W; more observations such as moored records from TAO are needed to evaluate the robustness and scale of this signal. Elsewhere, westward anomalies of O(10-20 cm/s) were observed across most of the basin. As seen since December 2011, many drifters north of 10N and west of the dateline measured SSTs warmer than normal by 0.5-3.0C, while drifters to the south and east measured SSTs at or slightly cooler (0-1.5C) than climatological February values. Cold anomalies were most prevalent between 20S to 20N, east of the dateline. A few very warm (1.5-3.0C) anomalies were measured by near-equatorial drifters east of 120W.





FIGURE A1.2.

FSU SURFACE PSEUDO−STRESS VECTORS AND ANOMALIES: February 2012. Pseudo−stress vectors (top) are objectively analyz from ship and buoy winds on a 2° grid. Ship and buoy data are independently weighted and the background field is created from the data. Contour interval of the vector magnitudes is 20 M^2S^{-2}. Anomalies (bottom) are departures from 1981−2010 mean. The contour interval is 15 M^2S^{-2}. For more information, please visit our web site at http://www.coaps.fsu.edu/RVSMDC/html/winds.shtml. Produced by Jeremy Rolph, Mark A. Bourassa, and Shawn R. Smith, Center for Ocean−Atmospheric Prediction Studies, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306−2840, USA.



FIGURE A1.3. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for FEB 2012 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.



FIGURE A1.4. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for FEB 2012 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.

Forecast Forum

The canonical correlation analysis (CCA) forecast of SST in the central Pacific (Barnett et al. 1988, *Science*, **241**, 192196; Barnston and Ropelewski 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 13161345), is shown in **Figs. F1 and F2.** This forecast is produced routinely by the Prediction Branch of the Climate Prediction Center. The predictions from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03) are presented in **Figs. F3 and F4a, F4b**. Predictions from the Markov model (Xue, et al. 2000: *J. Climate*, **13**, 849871) are shown in **Figs. F5 and F6.** Predictions from the latest version of the LDEO model (Chen et al. 2000: *Geophys. Res. Let*., **27**, 25852587) are shown in **Figs. F7 and F8**. Predictions using linear inverse modeling (Penland and Magorian 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 10671076) are shown in **Figs. F9 and F10.** Predictions from the Scripps / Max Planck Institute (MPI) hybrid coupled model (Barnett et al. 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 15451566) are shown in **Fig. F11.** Predictions from the ENSOCLIPER statistical model (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633652) are shown in **Fig. F12.** Niño 3.4 predictions are summarized in **Fig. F13**, provided by the Forecasting and Prediction Research Group of the IRI.

The CPC and the contributors to the **Forecast Forum** caution potential users of this predictive information that they can expect only modest skill.

ENSO Alert System Status: La Niña Advisory

Outlook

La Niña is expected to transition to ENSO-neutral conditions by the end of April 2012.

Discussion

La Niña weakened during February 2012, as near- to- above average sea surface temperatures (SST) emerged in the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean (Fig. T18). However, below-average SSTs persisted in the central Pacific, as indicated by the monthly Niño-3.4 and Niño-4 indices which were near –0.8°C (Table T2). The oceanic heat content (average temperature in the upper 300m of the ocean) anomalies also weakened notably, as reflected by a shallow lens (0m to ~25m depth) of positive temperature anomalies east of 125°W and by diminished below-average temperatures east of the Date Line (Fig. T17). These changes are partly associated with strong low-level westerly wind anomalies across the eastern Pacific, which at times reflected the absence of equatorial easterlies in that region (Figs. T20). Nonetheless, the larger scale atmospheric circulation anomalies continued to reflect the ongoing La Niña. Enhanced low-level equatorial easterlies persisted over the central and west-central Pacific, while convection remained suppressed in the western and central Pacific, and enhanced over Malyasia and the Phillipines (Fig. T25). Collectively, these oceanic and atmospheric patterns reflect a weakening La Niña.

A majority of models predict ENSO-neutral conditions to return during March-May 2012 and to continue through the Northern Hemisphere summer 2012 (Figs. F1-F13). The rapid weakening of the negative surface and subsurface temperature anomalies during February 2012, combined with the historical tendency for La Niña to dissipate during the Northern Hemisphere spring, lends support to the return of ENSO-neutral conditions in the coming months. Therefore, La Niña is expected to transition to ENSO-neutral conditions by the end of April 2012.

Weekly updates of oceanic and atmospheric conditions are available on the Climate Prediction Center homepage (El Niño/La Niña Current Conditions and Expert Discussions).



FIGURE F1. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly prediction for the central Pacific (5˚N to 5˚S, 120˚W to 170˚W (Barnston and Ropelewski, 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 1316-1345). The three plots on the left hand side are, from top to bottom, the 1-season, 2-season, and 3-season lead forecasts. The solid line in each forecast represents the observed SST standardized anomaly through the latest month. The small squares at the mid-points of the forecast bars represent the real-time CCA predictions based on the anomalies of quasi-global sea level pressure and on the anomalies of tropical Pacific SST, depth of the 20˚C isotherm and sea level height over the prior four seasons. The vertical lines represent the one standard deviation error bars for the predictions based on past performance. The three plots on the right side are skills, corresponding to the predicted and observed SST. The skills are derived from cross-correlation tests from 1956 to present. These skills show a clear annual cycle and are inversely proportional to the length of the error bars depicted in the forecast time series.



FIGURE F2. Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) forecasts of sea-surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 120W-170W) for the upcoming five consecutive 3-month periods. Forecasts are expressed as standardized SST anomalies. The CCA predictions are based on anomaly patterns of SST, depth of the 20C isotherm, sea level height, and sea level pressure. Small squares at the midpoints of the vertical forecast bars represent the CCA predictions, and the bars show the one (thick) and two (thin) standard deviation errors. The solid continuous line represents the observed standardized three-month mean SST anomaly in the Nino 3.4 region up to the most recently available data.



FIGURE F3. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature (left) and anomalies (right) from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. Contour interval is 1°C, with additional contours for 0.5°C and -0.5°C. Negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours.



FIGURE F4. Predicted and observed sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies for the Nino 3 (top) and Nino 3.4 (bottom) regions from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. The ensemble mean of all 40 forecast members is shown by the blue line, individual members are shown by thin lines, and the observation is indicated by the black line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nno 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.



FIGURE F5. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature anomalies from the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). The forecast is initiated in FEB 2012 . Contour interval is 0.3C and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology.



FIGURE F6. Time evolution of observed and predicted SST anomalies in the Nino 3.4 region (up to 12 lead months) by the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology. Shown in each panel are the forecasts grouped by three consecutive starting months: (a) is for December, January, and February, (b) is for March, April, and May, (c) is for June, July, and August, and (d) is for September, October, and November. The observed Nino 3.4 SST anomalies are indicated by the black dashed lines. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.



FIGURE F7. Forecasts of the tropical Pacific Predicted SST (shading) and vector wind anomalies for the next 3 seasons based on the LDEO model. Each forecast represents an ensemble average of 3 sets of predictions initialized during the last three consecutive months (see Figure F8).



FIGURE F8. LDEO forecasts of SST anomalies for the Nino 3 region using wind stresses obtained from (top) QuikSCAT, (middle) NCEP, and (bottom) Florida State Univ. (FSU), along with SSTs (obtained from NCEP), and sea surface height data (obtained from TOPEX/POSEIDON) data. Each thin blue line represents a 12-month forecast, initialized one month apart for the past 24 months. Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the thick red line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W.



FIGURE F9. Forecast of tropical SST anomalies from the Linear Inverse Modeling technique of Penland and Magorian (1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 1067-1076). The contour interval is 0.3C. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1981-2010 climatology and are projected onto 20 leading EOFs.



Predictions of Niño3.4 SSTA (light solid line) using linear inverse modeling procedure discussed in Penland and Magorian (1993: J. Climate, *6*, 1067–1076), along with verification field (heavy solid line). SSTs have been provided by NCEP and summarized into COADS–compatible monthly data at CDC.

FIGURE F10. Predictions of Niño 3.4 SSTA (blue solid line) and verification (solid red line). The Niño3.4 Index was calculated in the area 6N-6S, 170W-120W. The 1980-2010 climatology was subtracted from ERSST data between 1950 and 2010, after which they were projected onto 20 EOFs containing 90% of thevariance. Significant 1950-2010 trends were subtracted from the corresponding PCs, the forecast was made on the detrended anomalies, after which the trend was added to the forecast. The dotted lines indicate the one standard deviation confidence interval for the forecasts based on a perfect adherence to assumption.



FIGURE F11. SST anomaly forecast for the equatorial Pacific from the Hybrid Coupled Model (HCM) developed by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the Max-Plank Institut fuer Meteorlogie.



FIGURE F12. ENSO-CLIPER statistical model forecasts of three-month average sea surface temperature anomalies (green lines, deg. C) in (top panel) the Nino 4 region (5N-5S, 160E-150W), (second panel) the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 170W-120W), (third panel) the Nino 3 region (5N-5S, 150W-90W), and (fourth panel) the Nino 1+2 region (0-10S, 90W-80W) (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633-652). Bottom panel shows predictions of the three-month standardized Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, green line). Horizontal bars on green line indicate the adjusted root mean square error (RMSE). The Observed three-month average values are indicated by the thick blue line. SST anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means, and the SOI is calculated from the 1951-1980 base period means.



FIGURE F13. Time series of predicted sea surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (deg. C) from various dynamical and statistical models for nine overlapping 3-month periods. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. Figure provided by the International Research Institute (IRI).

Extratropical Highlights – February 2012

1. Northern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa circulation during February featured a persistent pattern of above-average heights over the eastern North Pacific, the eastern North Atlantic, and north-central Russia, and below-average heights over the high latitudes of the North Pacific, northern Africa, southern Russia, and eastern Siberia (Figs. E9, E11). Over the subtropical Pacific Ocean, the 200-hPa circulation featured cyclonic streamfunction anomalies in both hemispheres east of the Date Line (Fig. T22). This pattern is linked to La Niña, and reflects enhanced mid-Pacific troughs in both hemispheres flanking the suppressed convection over the central equatorial Pacific (Fig. T25).

The main land-surface temperature signals during February included well above-average temperatures in Alaska, Canada, the eastern U.S., and north-central Russia, and below-average temperatures across Europe and western Russia (Fig. E1). The main precipitation signals (Figs. E3, E6) included above-average totals along the U.S. Gulf Coast and southeastern Europe, and below-average totals along the U.S. eastern seaboard, western Europe, and northwestern Russia.

a. North Pacific and North America

The circulation over the North Pacific continued to exhibit a La Niña influence. La Niña is associated with deep tropical convection focused over Indonesia and the eastern Indian Ocean, along with a disappearance of tropical convection from the central equatorial Pacific (Fig. T25). This westward retraction in the area of deep convection acts to amplify the mean mid-Pacific troughs at 200-hPa in both hemispheres (Fig. T22), which in the NH results in a westward retraction the east Asian jet stream, along with a westward-shift and amplification of the jet exit region (Fig. T21). During February, the east Asian jet core was focused over the western Pacific, and the jet exit region began well west of the date line (Fig. T21). The jet exit region was also enhanced between 150oE-180, as indicated by anomalous southeasterly winds immediately south of the jet axis.

Over the eastern North Pacific and western North America, the mean 500-hPa circulation during February featured a 4-celled anomaly pattern, with amplified ridges over the eastern North Pacific and northwestern Canada, and troughs over Alaska and the southwestern U.S. (Fig. E9). This pattern was associated with an enhanced flow of mild marine air into North America (Fig. T21, E10), which contributed to well above-average surface temperatures (departures exceeding +5oC) across eastern Alaska and Canada (Fig. E1).

The circulation also featured an eastward shift of the mean Hudson Bay tough (HBL) to the western North Atlantic, which resulted in a reduced southward transport of cold air from Canada and to well above-average temperatures across eastern North America. This eastward shift of the HBL also affected in two ways the precipitation patterns across the eastern half of the U.S. First, it meant that the eastern seaboard was located upstream (rather than downstream) of the trough axis in an area of below-average precipitation and anomalous descending motion. Second, it allowed for a southerly flow of marine air and ascending motion into the south-central U.S. and along the Gulf Coast. These conditions contributed to well above-average precipitation along the Gulf Coast (Fig. E5), with southeastern Texas and Louisiana recording totals in excess of 175% of normal (Fig. E6).

Despite this enhanced precipitation much the southern U.S., including Gulf Coast region, continued to experience moderate to exceptional drought during February. Exceptional drought conditions persisted in Texas and western Oklahoma, and extreme drought spanned the area from northern Florida to southeastern South Carolina.

b. North Atlantic and Eurasia

At high latitudes, the 500-hPa circulation during February featured an amplified wave pattern that extended from the eastern North Atlantic to eastern Siberia (Figs. E9, E11). Features of this pattern included blocking ridges over the eastern North Atlantic and north-central Russia, and deep troughs over western Russia and eastern Siberia. At lower latitudes, the circulation featured an extensive trough from northwestern Africa to the Caspian Sea.

These overall conditions resulted in a southward and eastward transport of cold air across Europe and western Russia, which resulted in well below-average surface temperatures across the region (Fig. E1). In many areas, monthly temperature departures were in the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences.

The above circulation anomalies also strongly affected the precipitation patterns, with exceptionally low totals observed over western Europe and north-western Russia downstream of the mean ridge axes, and above-average totals observed over southeastern Europe and southern Russia in association with the mean trough axis (Fig. E3).

2. Southern Hemisphere

The mean 500-hPa circulation during February featured generally below-average heights in the middle latitudes and above-average heights over the high latitudes of the central North Pacific (Fig. E15). At 200-hPa, the subtropical circulation featured an enhanced mid-Pacific trough in response to the suppressed convection over the central equatorial Pacific (Figs. T22, T25). A similar anomaly pattern was also evident in the Northern Hemisphere, and is consistent with La Niña.

The South African rainy season lasts from October to April. During February, rainfall for the region as a whole was below average (Fig. E3), and area-averaged totals were in the lowest 30th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E4). The most significant deficits were observed in Mozambique, where totals were in the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences. To date, precipitation for the 2011-12 rainy season has been near average, with near-average totals recorded during October through January and below-average totals observed in February. Seasonal rainfall in this region is often above average during La Niña.

TELECONNECTION INDICES

Month	North Atlantic			North Pacific			EURASIA		
	NAO	EA	WP	EP-NP	PNA	TNH	EATL/ WRUS	SCAND	POLEUR
FEB 12	0.0	-1.7	1.0	-0.3	0.7	0.4	-0.6	0.3	0.2
JAN 12	0.9	-1.8	-1.6	-1.9	0.1	-0.2	-0.5	0.6	-2.3
DEC 11	2.2	0.1	-0.4	---	0.1	0.7	-0.5	0.5	0.7
NOV 11	1.3	-0.1	0.4	-1.3	-0.8	---	2.1	0.6	-0.4
OCT 11	0.9	-0.3	1.1	-0.8	0.9	---	0.1	-0.3	0.3
SEP 11	0.7	1.8	0.5	-0.5	-0.4	---	-0.3	-0.6	-1.1
AUG 11	-1.9	1.0	-0.5	-0.7	1.4	---	1.0	0.2	-0.3
JUL 11	-1.5	0.4	-0.3	-2.2	-0.8	---	-0.8	2.5	-0.3
JUN 11	-1.0	-0.2	0.8	-0.5	0.3	---	-0.3	-0.6	-1.1
MAY 11	0.0	-0.7	0.4	-1.2	0.3	---	-1.5	-1.1	-0.6
APR 11	2.5	-0.6	-1.9	-0.6	-1.8	---	1.2	-0.4	-0.3
MAR 11	0.2	-0.8	-0.1	0.0	0.4	---	0.1	-1.0	0.9
FEB 11	0.4	0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-2.4	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.1

TABLE E1-Standardized amplitudes of selected Northern Hemisphere teleconnection patterns for the most recent thirteen months (computational procedures are described in Fig. E7). Pattern names and abbreviations are North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO); East Atlantic pattern (EA);West Pacific pattern (WP); East Pacific - North Pacific pattern (EP-NP); Pacific/North American pattern (PNA); Tropical/Northern Hemisphere pattern (TNH);East Atlantic/Western Russia pattern (EATL/WRUS-called Eurasia-2 pattern by Barnston and Livezey, 1987, *Mon. Wea. Rev*., **115**, 1083-1126); Scandanavia pattern (SCAND-called Eurasia-1 pattern by Barnston and Livezey 1987); and Polar Eurasia pattern (POLEUR). No value is plotted for calendar months in which the pattern does not appear as a leading mode.





FIGURE E1. Surface temperature anomalies (°C, top) and surface temperature expressed as percentiles of the normal (Gaussian) distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for FEB 2012. Analysis is based on station data over land and on SST data over the oceans (top). Anomalies for station data are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means, while SST anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology. (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323). Regions with insufficient data for analysis in both figures are indicated by shading in the top figure only.



FIGURE E2. Monthly global (top), Northern Hemisphere (middle), and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) surface temperature anomalies (land only, ˚C) from January 1990 - present, computed as departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.



FIGURE E3. Anomalous precipitation (mm, top) and precipitation percentiles based on a Gamma distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for FEB 2012. Data are obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). Contours are drawn at 200, 100, 50, 25, -25, -50, -100, and -200 mm in top panel. Percentiles are not plotted in regions where mean monthly precipitation is <5mm/month.



FIGURE E4. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.



FIGURE E5. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.



FIGURE E6. Observed precipitation (upper left), departure from average (upper right), percent of average (lower left), and average precipitation (lower right) for FEB 2012. The units are given on each panel. Base period for averages is 1981–2010. Results are based on CPC's U. S. daily precipitation analysis, which is available at http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/prodcuts/precip/realtime.



FIGURE E7. Standardized monthly Northern Hemisphere teleconnection indices. The teleconnection patterns are calculated from a Rotated Principal Component Analysis (RPCA) applied to monthly standardized 500-hPa height anomalies during the 1981-2010 base period. To obtain these patterns, ten leading un-rotated modes are first calculated for each calendar month by using the monthly height anomaly fields for the three-month period centered on that month: [i.e., The July modes are calculated from the June, July, and August standardized monthly anomalies]. A Varimax spatial rotation of the ten leading un-rotated modes for each calendar month results in 120 rotated modes (12 months x 10 modes per month) that yield ten primary teleconnection patterns.The teleconnection indices are calculated by first projecting the standardized monthly anomalies onto the teleconnection patterns corresponding to that month (eight or nine teleconnection patterns are seen in each calendar month). The indices are then solved for simultaneously using a Least-Squares approach. In this approach, the indices are the solution to the Least-Squares system of equations which explains the maximum spatial structure of the observed height anomaly field during the month. The indices are then standardized for each pattern and calendar month independently. No index value exists when the teleconnection pattern does not appear as one of the ten leading rotated EOF's valid for that month.



FIGURE E8. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE E9. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.





FIGURE E10. Northern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms^{-1}. Values greater than 30 ms^{-1} (left) and 10 ms^{-1} (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE E11. Northern Hemisphere percentage of days during FEB 2012 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-



FIGURE E12. Northern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for FEB 2012 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°N. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.



FIGURE E13. Northern Hemisphere 500-hPa heights (thick contours, interval is 6 dam) overlaid with (Top) Standard deviation of 10-day high-pass (HP) filtered height anomalies and (Bottom) Normalized anomalous variance of 10-day HP filtered height anomalies. A Lanczos filter is used to calculate the HP filtered anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 daily means.



FIGURE E14. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure(CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE E15. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE E16. Southern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for FEB 2012. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms^{-1}. Values greater than 30 ms^{-1} (left) and 10 ms^{-1} (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.



FIGURE E17. Southern Hemisphere percentage of days during FEB 2012 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-



FIGURE E18. Southern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for FEB 2012 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°S. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.



FIGURE S1. Stratospheric height anomalies (m) at selected levels for FEB 2012. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.



FIGURE S2. Height-longitude sections during FEB 2012 for height anomalies (contour) and temperature anomalies (shaded). In both panels, positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading, while negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval for height anomalies is 60 m and for temperature anomalies is 2°C. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.



FIGURE S3. Seasonal mean temperature anomalies at 50-hPa for the latitude bands 65°–90°N, 25°–65°N, 25°N–25°S, 25°–65°S, 65°–90°S. The seasonal mean is comprised of the most recent three months. Zonal anomalies are taken from the mean of the entire data set.



FIGURE S4. Daily mean temperatures at 10-hPa and 2-hPa (thick line) in the region 65°–90°N and 65°–90°S for the past two years. Dashed line depicts the 1981-2010 base period daily mean. Thin solid lines depict the daily extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.



FIGURE S5. Monthly ozone anomalies (percent) from the long term monthly means for five zones: 50N-30N (NH mid-latitudes), 25N-10N (NH tropical surf zone), 10N-10S (Equatorial-QBO zone), 10S-25S (SH tropical surf zone), and 30S-50S (SH mid-latitudes). The long term monthly means are determined from the entire data set

FEBRUARY PERCENT DIFF (2012 - AVG[79-86])



FIGURE S6. Northern (top) and Southern (bottom) Hemisphere total ozone anomaly (percent difference from monthly mean for the period 1979-1986). The region near the winter pole has no SBUV/2 data.



FIGURE S7. Daily vertical component of EP flux (which is proportional to the poleward transport of heat or upward transport of potential energy by planetary wave) at 100 hPa averaged over (top) 30°N–90°N and (bottom) 30°S–90°S for FEB 2012. The EP flux unit (kg m^{-1} s^{-2}) has been scaled by multiplying a factor of the Brunt Vaisala frequency divided by the Coriolis parameter and the radius of the earth. The letter 'M' indicates the current monthly mean value and the letter 'C' indicates the climatological mean value. Additionally, the normalized departures from the monthly climatological EP flux values are shown.



FIGURE S8. Daily time series showing the size of the SH polar vortex (representing the area enclosed by the 32 PVU contour on the 450K isentropic surface), and the areal coverage of temperatures < -78C on the 450K isentropic surface.



FIGURE A2.1. (a) Daily amplitudes of the Arctic Oscillation (AO) the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), and the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. The pattern amplitudes for the AO, (NAO, PNA) are calculated by projecting the daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height anomaly field onto the leading EOF obtained from standardized time- series of daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height for all months of the year. The base period is 1981–2010.

(b-d) Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for selected periods during FEB 2012 are shown in the remaining 3 panels. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 8 dam. Dark (light) shading corresponds to anomalies greater than 50 m (less than -50 m). Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.



FIGURE A2.2. SSM/I derived snow cover frequency (%) (left) and snow cover anomaly (%) (right) for the month of FEB 2012 based on 1987 - 2010 base period for the Northern Hemisphere (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom). It is generated using the algorithm described by Ferraro et. al, 1996, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., vol 77, 891-905.