# Performance-based multimodel probabilistic climate change scenarios

Arthur M. Greene, Lisa Goddard, Upmanu Lall International Research Institute for Climate Prediction The Earth Institute at Columbia University Palisades, NY amg@iri.columbia.edu

- Goal: Production of regional climate outlooks for the coming century
- Initial focus: Evolution of mean regional temperatures; eventually continuing with variability, precipitation...
- Methodology: Multimodel ensemble, combined in the framework of a linear Bayesian probability model
  - Coefficients derived by fitting to simulations of 20<sup>th</sup>-century climate (20C3M runs from IPCC AR4)
  - Bayesian estimation employed, in keeping with probabilistic framework
  - Model formulations with varying degrees of complexity explored

### Regional definitions as in the IPCC SAR...



# AOGCMs may exhibit significant regional temperature biases



Central American sector (CAM), raw temperature series

Anomalies (relative to each model's 1961-1990 climatology)

# Incoherence not limited to interannual variations

ALA ann

CAM ann



# Mean regional temperatures are simulated with more fidelity than are regional temperature *trends*.



Regional annual mean T, 1961-1990

Regional annual-mean T trends, 1965-1998

### Probability model structures compared

- A  $Y_{ik} \sim N(\mu_{ik}, \sigma^2)$  (variance of Y is uniform...)
- B:  $Y_{ik} \sim N(\mu_{ik}, \sigma_k^2)$  (or regionally dependent...)
- C:  $\beta_{jk} \sim MVN(\mu_{\beta}^{(j)}\Sigma)$  (cov( $\beta$ ) modeled explicitly)
- The last of these represents a multilevel, or hierarchical structure:
  - 1: Regional series of obs and simulations
  - 2: Global structure for parent distribution of  $\beta$
- Priors are "diffuse" (i.e. non-informative) with the qualified exception of Σ, for which a scale matrix must be specified to at least an order of magnitude.



#### Regional input covariance exhibits varying degrees of structure...



EAF ann

EAF DJF

EAF JJA

Some model comparison statistics (mean annual temperature)

Model	Dbar	Dhat	DIC	pD
Α	2532	2217	2847	315.3
В	1768	1429	2108	339.4
С	1735	1505	1964	229.8

Dbar: Mean of the posterior deviance:mean  $(-2 \log(p(y|\theta)))$ Dhat: Posterior deviance computed from mean  $\theta$ : $-2 \log(p|(y|\theta_{bar}))$ DIC: "Deviance Information Criterion," an estimate of predictive skill.pD: Effective number of parameters in the model.

Conclusion: Model B a lot better than A; C a little better than B

### Some fitted series...

#### ALA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values





#### ALA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values







Model A



## Fitted series, cont'd

Tanom

Tanom

2000

#### ALA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values



SEA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

1900

Tanom

obs fit mean

1920

ALA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values





SEA ann: Obs, unweighted model mean, fitted values

Model B

1960

1980

1940



Year

### Model structure and estimation of $\beta_{jk}$

Distributions of beta

#### Variance distributions for beta



## Prior distribution of cov(β) is "imprinted" by the data



Prior correlation for  $\beta$ : A blank slate.



Posterior correlation: (Weak) structure is present

### **Cross-validation**

Computed with respect to decadal means
"Leave-10-out", with model fitted to remaining data. Nine values / region

Region by region (annual mean)

Comparison over all regions

Season	F	P (v <sub>1</sub> =v <sub>2</sub> =198)
Ann	4.38	1.16E-23
DJF	2.58	3.05E-11
JJA	3.32	1.22E-16



# DJF, JJA...



#### Coefficients are applied to the SRES scenario simulations to generate the final temperature projections



T change, deg C

#### Stationarity assumptions cannot be ignored...

NAS ann

T anomaly, deg C 1.0 0.0 1.0 1900 1920 1980 1940 1960 2000 Year **SEA** ann T anomaly, deg C 0.8 0.2 -0.4 1940 1920 1960 1980 2000 1900

Year

## Summary

- Regional temperature projections are generated for the 21<sup>st</sup> century
- Based on IPCC 20C3M experiments, SRES scenario simulations
- AOGCM outputs combined in the framework of a Bayesian hierarchical linear model of limited complexity
- Relaxation of constraint that  $\sum_{j} \beta_{jk} = 1$  allows resultant to "escape the envelope" of the underlying simulations
- Projections appear to be an improvement over the unweighted mean of the contributing AOGCMs. This improvement is greatest for the annual mean, decreasing but still present for DJF and JJA
- There is an implicit assumption of stationarity, and with this comes the unavoidable responsibility of choosing good (or at least defensible) assumptions in model building. So what else is new?