

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



NOVEMBER 2012

NEAR REAL-TIME OCEAN / ATMOSPHERE

Monitoring, Assessments, and Prediction

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service
National Centers for Environmental Prediction**

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER Attn: Climate Diagnostics Bulletin W/NP52, Room 605, WWBG Camp Springs, MD 20746-4304

Chief Editor: Gerald D. Bell

Editors: Wei Shi, Michelle L'Heureux, and Michael Halpert

Bulletin Production: Wei Shi

External Collaborators:

Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS)

Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (CIRA)

Earth & Space Research

International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI)

Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO)

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO)

NOAA-CIRES, Climate Diagnostics Center

NOAA-AOML, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory

NOAA-NESDIS-STAR, Center for Satellite Applications and Research

NOAA-NDBC, National Data Buoy Center

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Software: Most of the bulletin figures generated at CPC are created using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS).

- Climate Diagnostics Bulletin available on the World Wide Web

The CDB is available on the World Wide Web. The address of the online version of the CDB is:

<http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/CDB>

If you have any problems accessing the bulletin, contact Dr. Wei Shi by E-mail:

Wei.Shi@noaa.gov

Table of Contents

TROPICS

| | |
|--|--------|
| Highlights | page 6 |
| Table of Atmospheric Indices | page 7 |
| Table of Oceanic Indices | page 8 |

FIGURE

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Time Series | |
| Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) | T1 |
| Tahiti and Darwin SLP Anomalies | T1 |
| OLR Anomalies | T1 |
| CDAS/Reanalysis SOI & Equatorial SOI | T2 |
| 200-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T3 |
| 500-hPa Temperature Anomalies | T3 |
| 30-hPa and 50-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T3 |
| 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T4 |
| Equatorial Pacific SST Anomalies | T5 |
| Time-Longitude Sections | |
| Mean and Anomalous Sea Level Pressure | T6 |
| Mean and Anomalous 850-hPa Zonal Wind | T7 |
| Mean and Anomalous OLR | T8 |
| Mean and Anomalous SST | T9 |
| Pentad SLP Anomalies | T10 |
| Pentad OLR Anomalies | T11 |
| Pentad 200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomalies | T12 |
| Pentad 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies | T13 |
| Anomalous Equatorial Zonal Wind | T14 |
| Mean and Anomalous Depth of the 20°C Isotherm | T15 |
| Mean & Anomaly Fields | |
| Depth of the 20°C Isotherm | T16 |
| Subsurface Equatorial Pacific Temperatures | T17 |
| SST | T18 |
| SLP | T19 |
| 850-hPa Vector Wind | T20 |
| 200-hPa Vector Wind | T21 |
| 200-hPa Streamfunction | T22 |
| 200-hPa Divergence | T23 |
| 200-hPa Velocity Potential and Divergent Wind | T24 |
| OLR | T25 |
| SSM/I Tropical Precipitation Estimates | T26 |
| Cloud Liquid Water | T27 |
| Precipitable Water | T28 |
| Divergence & E-W Divergent Circulation | T29 - T30 |
| Pacific Zonal Wind & N-S Divergent Circulation | T31 - T32 |
| Appendix 1: Outside Contributions | |
| Tropical Drifting Buoys | A1.1 |

FIGURE

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Pacific Wind Stress and Anomalies | A1.2 |
| Satellite-Derived Surface Currents | A1.3 - A1.4 |
| FORECAST FORUM | |
| Discussion | page 49 |
| Canonical Correlation Analysis Forecasts | F1 - F2 |
| NCEP Coupled Model Forecasts | F3 - F4 |
| NCEP Markov Model Forecasts | F5 - F6 |
| LDEO Model Forecasts | F7 - F8 |
| Linear Inverse Modeling Forecasts | F9 - F10 |
| Scripps/MPI Hybrid Coupled Model Forecast | F11 |
| ENSO-CLIPER Model Forecast | F12 |
| Model Forecasts of Niño 3.4 | F13 |
| EXTRATROPICS | |
| Highlights | page 64 |
| Table of Teleconnection Indices | page 66 |
| Global Surface Temperature | E1 |
| Temperature Anomalies (Land Only) | E2 |
| Global Precipitation | E3 |
| Regional Precipitation Estimates | E4 - E5 |
| U. S. Precipitation | E6 |
| Northern Hemisphere | |
| Teleconnection Indices | E7 |
| Mean and Anomalous SLP | E8 |
| Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights | E9 |
| Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors | E10 |
| 500-hPa Persistence | E11 |
| Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies | E12 |
| 700-hPa Storm Track | E13 |
| Southern Hemisphere | |
| Mean and Anomalous SLP | E14 |
| Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights | E15 |
| Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors | E16 |
| 500-hPa Persistence | E17 |
| Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies | E18 |
| Stratosphere | |
| Height Anomalies | S1 - S2 |
| Temperatures | S3 - S4 |
| Ozone | S5 - S6 |
| Vertical Component of EP Flux | S7 |
| Ozone Hole | S8 |
| Appendix 2: Additional Figures | |
| Arctic Oscillation and 500-hPa Anomalies | A2.1 |
| Snow Cover | A2.2 |

Tropical Highlights - November 2012

During November 2012, the sea surface temperatures (SSTs) remained slightly warmer-than-average across the central and east-central equatorial Pacific, but colder-than-average over the far eastern equatorial Pacific (Fig. T18, Table T2). The latest monthly Niño indices were $+0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the Niño 3.4 region and -0.4°C for the Niño 1+2 region (Table T2, Fig. T5). Consistent with these conditions, the depth of the oceanic thermocline (measured by the depth of the 20C isotherm) remained slightly above-average across the central and east-central equatorial Pacific (Figs. T15, T16).

The Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) remained near average during November, with the latest monthly index value being $+0.3$ (Table T1). Meanwhile, the equatorial low-level easterly trade winds remained slightly enhanced over the west-central equatorial Pacific and near-average across the east-central equatorial Pacific (Table T1, Fig. T20). This pattern is largely consistent with continued ENSO-neutral conditions. Enhanced convection was seen over the western equatorial Pacific (Figs. T25, E3). Collectively, these oceanic and atmospheric anomalies reflect ENSO-neutral conditions.

For the latest status of the ENSO cycle see the ENSO Diagnostic Discussion at:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/enso_advisory/index.html

| Month | SLP Anomalies | | Tahiti minus Darwin SOI | 850-hPa Zonal Wind Index | | | 200-hPa Wind Index | OLR Index |
|--------|---------------|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Tahiti | Darwin | | 5N-5S 135E-180 | 5N-5S 175W-140W | 5N-5S 135W-120W | | |
| NOV 12 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| OCT 12 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -0.2 | 5N-5S 165W-110W |
| SEP 12 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| AUG 12 | 0.3 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 0.6 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -0.7 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| JUL 12 | -0.7 | -0.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | -0.8 | 0.3 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| JUN 12 | -0.5 | 0.4 | -0.4 | 0.4 | -0.3 | -1.9 | 0.4 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| MAY 12 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -0.7 | 0.5 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| APR 12 | 0.4 | 0.8 | -0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| MAR 12 | -0.8 | -2.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 1.8 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| FEB 12 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.4 | -2.9 | 0.7 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| JAN 12 | 1.4 | -0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | -1.1 | 2.3 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| DEC 11 | 2.2 | -2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | -0.4 | 2.4 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |
| NOV 11 | 1.7 | -0.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 5N-5S 160E-160W |

TABLE T1 - Atmospheric index values for the most recent 12 months. Indices are standardized by the mean annual standard deviation, except for the Tahiti and Darwin SLP anomalies which are in units of hPa. Positive (negative) values of 200-hPa zonal wind index imply westerly (easterly) anomalies. Positive (negative) values of 850-hPa zonal wind indices imply easterly (westerly) anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.

| Month | PACIFIC SST | | | | ATLANTIC SST | | GLOBAL | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | Niño 1+2 0-10S 90W-80W | Niño 3 5N-5S 150W-90W | Niño 3.4 5N-5S 170W-120W | Niño 4 5N-5S 160E-150W | N.ATL 5N-20N 60W-30W | S. ATL 0-20S 30W-10E | | | | | | | | |
| NOV 12 | -0.4 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 25.1 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 0.7 | 28.3 | -0.3 | 23.7 | 0.2 | 27.7 |
| OCT 12 | -0.1 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 0.3 | 27.0 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 0.4 | 28.5 | -0.4 | 23.0 | 0.2 | 27.6 |
| SEP 12 | 0.5 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 25.3 | 0.5 | 27.2 | 0.4 | 29.1 | 0.4 | 28.5 | -0.3 | 22.7 | 0.2 | 27.4 |
| AUG 12 | 0.4 | 21.0 | 0.7 | 25.7 | 0.7 | 27.6 | 0.4 | 29.1 | 0.2 | 28.0 | -0.3 | 22.8 | 0.2 | 27.4 |
| JUL 12 | 1.2 | 22.8 | 1.0 | 26.6 | 0.6 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 0.2 | 27.4 | -0.2 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 27.6 |
| JUN 12 | 1.6 | 24.5 | 0.7 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 28.0 | -0.1 | 28.7 | 0.1 | 26.9 | -0.3 | 24.7 | 0.1 | 28.1 |
| MAY 12 | 1.2 | 25.5 | 0.2 | 27.2 | -0.1 | 27.8 | -0.3 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 26.4 | -0.2 | 26.0 | 0.1 | 28.6 |
| APR 12 | 1.3 | 26.9 | 0.1 | 27.6 | -0.4 | 27.4 | -0.3 | 28.2 | -0.1 | 25.9 | -0.5 | 26.5 | -0.1 | 28.5 |
| MAR 12 | 0.3 | 26.9 | -0.2 | 26.9 | -0.6 | 26.6 | -0.7 | 27.5 | -0.3 | 25.3 | -0.4 | 26.8 | -0.3 | 28.0 |
| FEB 12 | 0.2 | 26.3 | -0.2 | 26.2 | -0.7 | 26.0 | -0.9 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 25.6 | -0.7 | 25.9 | -0.2 | 27.7 |
| JAN 12 | -0.8 | 23.7 | -0.8 | 24.8 | -1.1 | 25.5 | -1.2 | 27.1 | 0.2 | 26.2 | -0.9 | 24.7 | -0.3 | 27.3 |
| DEC 11 | -1.1 | 21.8 | -1.0 | 24.2 | -1.0 | 25.5 | -1.1 | 27.4 | 0.4 | 27.2 | -0.8 | 24.0 | -0.3 | 27.4 |
| NOV 11 | -0.8 | 20.8 | -1.1 | 23.9 | -1.1 | 25.6 | -0.8 | 27.9 | 0.2 | 27.8 | -0.2 | 23.7 | -0.2 | 27.5 |

TABLE T2. Mean and anomalous sea surface temperature (°C) for the most recent 12 months. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320–3323).




FIGURE T1. Five-month running mean of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) (top), sea-level pressure anomaly (hPa) at Darwin and Tahiti (middle), and outgoing longwave radiation anomaly (OLR) averaged over the area 5N-5S, 160E-160W (bottom). Anomalies in the top and middle panels are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies in the bottom panel are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. Individual monthly values are indicated by “x”s in the top and bottom panels. The x-axis labels are centered on July.






FIGURE T2. Three-month running mean of a CDAS/Reanalysis-derived (a) Southern Oscillation Index (RSOI), (b) standardized pressure anomalies near Tahiti (solid) and Darwin (dashed), (c) an equatorial SOI ([EPAC] - [INDO]), and (d) standardized equatorial pressure anomalies for (EPAC) (solid) and (INDO) (dashed). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The equatorial SOI is calculated as the normalized difference between the standardized anomalies averaged between 5°N–5°S, 80°W–130°W (EPAC) and 5°N–5°S, 90°E–140°E (INDO).



Data updated through November 2012

FIGURE T3. Five-month running mean (solid lines) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the 200-hPa zonal wind anomalies averaged over the area 5N-5S, 165W-110W (top), the 500-hPa virtual temperature anomalies averaged over the latitude band 20N-20S (middle), and the equatorial zonally-averaged zonal wind anomalies at 30-hPa (red) and 50-hPa (blue) (bottom). In the top panel, anomalies are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means. The x-axis labels are centered on January.



Data updated through November 2012

FIGURE T4. Five-month running mean (solid line) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the standardized 850-hPa zonal wind anomaly index in the latitude belt 5N-5S for 135E-180 (top), 175W-140W (middle) and 135W-120W (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The x-axis labels are centered on January. Positive (negative) values indicate easterly (westerly) anomalies.





FIGURE T5. Niño region indices, calculated as the area-averaged sea surface temperature anomalies (C) for the specified region. The Niño 1+2 region (top) covers the extreme eastern equatorial Pacific between 0-10S, 90W-80W. The Niño-3 region (2nd from top) spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Niño 3.4 region (3rd from top) spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. The Niño 4 region (bottom) spans the date line and covers the area 5N-5S, 160E-150W. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means (*Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323*). Monthly values of each index are also displayed in [Table 2](#).




FIGURE T6. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1.0 hPa (top) and 0.5 hPa (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T7. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms^{-1} . Blue shading and dashed contours indicate easterlies (top) and easterly anomalies (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.





FIGURE T8. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 10 Wm⁻². Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative OLR anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.





FIGURE T9. Time-longitude section of monthly mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 1C (top) and 0.5C (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).



Data updated through November 2012

FIGURE T10. Time-longitude section of anomalous sea level pressure (hPa) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Re-analysis). Contour interval is 1 hPa. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T11. Time-longitude section of anomalous outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 15 Wm^{-2} . Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T12. Time-longitude section of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Re-analysis). Contour interval is $3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T13. Time-longitude section of anomalous 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 m s^{-1} . Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally by using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T14. Equatorial time-height section of anomalous zonally-averaged zonal wind (m s^{-1}) (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 10 m s^{-1} . Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.





FIGURE T15. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20C isotherm averaged between 5N-5S in the Pacific Ocean. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Behringer, D. W., and Y. Xue, 2004: Evaluation of the global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean. AMS 84th Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, 11-15). The contour interval is 10 m. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means.





FIGURE T16. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20°C isotherm for NOV 2012. Contour interval is 40 m (top) and 10 m (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP’s global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.

November 2012: Depth–Longitude Section
Equatorial Ocean Temperatures (C)



Equatorial Ocean Temperature Anomalies (C)




FIGURE T17. Equatorial depth–longitude section of ocean temperature (top) and ocean temperature anomalies (bottom) for NOV 2012. Contour interval is 1°C. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP’s global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.




FIGURE T18. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T19. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) (CDAS/Reanalysis). In top panel, 1000 hPa has been subtracted from contour labels, contour interval is 2 hPa, and values below 1000 hPa are indicated by dashed contours. In bottom panel, anomaly contour interval is 1 hPa and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T20. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Contour interval for isotachs is 4 ms^{-1} (top) and 2 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T21. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Contour interval for isotachs is 15 ms^{-1} (top) and 5 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T22. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa streamfunction (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is $20 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ (top) and $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ (bottom). Negative (positive) values are indicated by dashed (solid) lines. The non-divergent component of the flow is directed along the contours with speed proportional to the gradient. Thus, high (low) stream function corresponds to high (low) geopotential height in the Northern Hemisphere and to low (high) geopotential height in the Southern Hemisphere. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T23. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa divergence (CDAS/Reanalysis). Divergence and anomalous divergence are shaded blue. Convergence and anomalous convergence are shaded orange. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012




FIGURE T24. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa velocity potential ($10^6\text{m}^2\text{s}$) and divergent wind (CDAS/Reanalysis). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T25. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation for NOV 2012 (NOAA 18 AVHRR IR window channel measurements by NESDIS/ORR). OLR contour interval is 20 Wm⁻² with values greater than 280 Wm⁻² indicated by dashed contours. Anomaly contour interval is 15 Wm⁻² with positive values indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T26. Estimated total (top) and anomalous (bottom) rainfall (mm) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/S) precipitation index (Ferraro 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16715-16735). Anomalies are computed from the SSM/I 1987-2010 base period monthly means. Anomalies have been smoothed for display purposes.




FIGURE T27. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) cloud liquid water (g m^{-2}) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Weng et al 1997: *J. Climate*, **10**, 1086-1098). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T28. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) vertically integrated water vapor or precipitable water (kg m⁻²) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et. al, 1996: *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **77**, 891-905). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.

November 2012
Divergence and East–West Divergent Circulation




FIGURE T29. Pressure-longitude section (100E–80W) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N–5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012
 Divergence and West–East Divergent Circulation
 Mean




FIGURE T30. Pressure-longitude section (80W-100E) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T31. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the west Pacific sector (120E-170E). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T32. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the central Pacific sector (130W-180W). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

During November 2012, 317 satellite-tracked surface drifting buoys, 66% with subsurface drogues attached for measuring mixed layer currents, were reporting from the tropical Pacific. In the western Pacific, the NECC was approximately 20 cm/s weaker than normal climatological conditions, although it was possible that the current had shifted south of the drifters which were at 5-10N. In the equatorial eastern Pacific, eastward anomalies were measured by a few drifters at 95-105W.




Figure A1.1 Top: Movements of drifting buoys in the tropical Pacific Ocean during November 2012. The linear segments of each trajectory represent a one week displacement. Trajectories of buoys which have lost their subsurface drogues are gray; those with drogues are black.

Middle: Monthly mean currents calculated from all buoys 1993-2002 (gray), and currents measured by the drogued buoys this month (black) smoothed by an optimal filter.





FIGURE A1.2. FSU SURFACE PSEUDO-STRESS VECTORS AND ANOMALIES: November 2012. Pseudo-stress vectors (top) are objectively analyzed from ship and buoy winds on a 2° grid. Ship and buoy data are independently weighted and the background field is created from the data. Contour interval of the vector magnitudes is $20 \text{ M}^2\text{S}^{-2}$. Anomalies (bottom) are departures from 1981-2010 mean. The contour interval is $15 \text{ M}^2\text{S}^{-2}$. For more information, please visit our web site at <http://www.coaps.fsu.edu/RVSMDC/html/winds.shtml>. Produced by Jeremy Rolph, Mark A. Bourassa, and Shawn R. Smith, Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-2840, USA.




FIGURE A1.3. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for NOV 2012 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, *JGR-Oceans*, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also <http://www.oscar.noaa.gov>.




FIGURE A1.4. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for NOV 2012 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, *JGR-Oceans*, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also <http://www.oscar.noaa.gov>.

Forecast Forum

The canonical correlation analysis (CCA) forecast of SST in the central Pacific (Barnett et al. 1988, *Science*, **241**, 192196; Barnston and Ropelewski 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 13161345), is shown in **Figs. F1 and F2**. This forecast is produced routinely by the Prediction Branch of the Climate Prediction Center. The predictions from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03) are presented in **Figs. F3 and F4a, F4b**. Predictions from the Markov model (Xue, et al. 2000: *J. Climate*, **13**, 849871) are shown in **Figs. F5 and F6**. Predictions from the latest version of the LDEO model (Chen et al. 2000: *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**, 25852587) are shown in **Figs. F7 and F8**. Predictions using linear inverse modeling (Penland and Magorian 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 10671076) are shown in **Figs. F9 and F10**. Predictions from the Scripps / Max Planck Institute (MPI) hybrid coupled model (Barnett et al. 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 15451566) are shown in **Fig. F11**. Predictions from the ENSOCLIPER statistical model (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633652) are shown in **Fig. F12**. Niño 3.4 predictions are summarized in **Fig. F13**, provided by the Forecasting and Prediction Research Group of the IRI.

The CPC and the contributors to the **Forecast Forum** caution potential users of this predictive information that they can expect only modest skill.

ENSO Alert System Status: [Not Active](#)

Outlook

ENSO-neutral is favored for Northern Hemisphere winter 2012-13 and into spring 2013.

Discussion

During November 2012, the Pacific Ocean reflected ENSO-neutral conditions. Equatorial sea surface temperatures (SST) anomalies were slightly positive across all of the tropical Pacific Ocean except for the far eastern portion (Fig. T18), as also indicated in the Niño indices (Table T2). The oceanic heat content (average temperature in the upper 300m of the ocean) was also slightly above average, with largest amplitude in the east-central part of the basin (Fig. T17). Despite the subsurface and surface Pacific Ocean being slightly warmer than average, the tropical atmosphere remained in an ENSO-neutral state. Upper-level and lower-level zonal winds were near average (Figs. T20 and T21), and convection was slightly suppressed over the eastern and central tropical Pacific (Fig. T25). Thus, both the atmosphere and ocean indicated ENSO-neutral conditions.

Relative to last month, the SST model predictions increasingly favor ENSO-neutral, with many remaining just slightly above average in the Niño-3.4 region through the Northern Hemisphere winter 2012-13 and into spring 2013 (Figs. F1-F13). While the tropical atmosphere and especially the ocean suggested borderline ENSO-neutral/ weak El Niño conditions at times from July to September, these signs have now largely dissipated. Therefore, it is considered unlikely that a fully coupled El Niño will develop during the next several months. ENSO-neutral is now favored through the Northern Hemisphere winter 2012-13 and into spring 2013.

Weekly updates of oceanic and atmospheric conditions are available on the Climate Prediction Center homepage ([El Niño/La Niña Current Conditions and Expert Discussions](#)).




FIGURE F1. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly prediction for the central Pacific (5°N to 5°S , 120°W to 170°W (Barnston and Ropelewski, 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 1316-1345). The three plots on the left hand side are, from top to bottom, the 1-season, 2-season, and 3-season lead forecasts. The solid line in each forecast represents the observed SST standardized anomaly through the latest month. The small squares at the mid-points of the forecast bars represent the real-time CCA predictions based on the anomalies of quasi-global sea level pressure and on the anomalies of tropical Pacific SST, depth of the 20°C isotherm and sea level height over the prior four seasons. The vertical lines represent the one standard deviation error bars for the predictions based on past performance. The three plots on the right side are skills, corresponding to the predicted and observed SST. The skills are derived from cross-correlation tests from 1956 to present. These skills show a clear annual cycle and are inversely proportional to the length of the error bars depicted in the forecast time series.

0-4 SEASON LEAD FORECAST CANONICAL CORRELATION ENSO FORECAST




FIGURE F2. Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) forecasts of sea-surface temperature anomalies for the Niño 3.4 region (5N-5S, 120W-170W) for the upcoming five consecutive 3-month periods. Forecasts are expressed as standardized SST anomalies. The CCA predictions are based on anomaly patterns of SST, depth of the 20C isotherm, sea level height, and sea level pressure. Small squares at the midpoints of the vertical forecast bars represent the CCA predictions, and the bars show the one (thick) and two (thin) standard deviation errors. The solid continuous line represents the observed standardized three-month mean SST anomaly in the Niño 3.4 region up to the most recently available data.

Last update: Sat Dec 1 2012
Initial conditions: 21Nov2012–30Nov2012




FIGURE F3. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature (left) and anomalies (right) from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. Contour interval is 1°C, with additional contours for 0.5°C and -0.5°C. Negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours.




FIGURE F4. Predicted and observed sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies for the Nino 3 (top) and Nino 3.4 (bottom) regions from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. The ensemble mean of all 40 forecast members is shown by the blue line, individual members are shown by thin lines, and the observation is indicated by the black line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.




FIGURE F5. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature anomalies from the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). The forecast is initiated in NOV 2012. Contour interval is 0.3C and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology.




FIGURE F6. Time evolution of observed and predicted SST anomalies in the Niño 3.4 region (up to 12 lead months) by the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology. Shown in each panel are the forecasts grouped by three consecutive starting months: (a) is for December, January, and February, (b) is for March, April, and May, (c) is for June, July, and August, and (d) is for September, October, and November. The observed Niño 3.4 SST anomalies are indicated by the black dashed lines. The Niño 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.

LDEO FORECASTS OF SST AND WIND STRESS ANOMALIES




FIGURE F7. Forecasts of the tropical Pacific Predicted SST (shading) and vector wind anomalies for the next 3 seasons based on the LDEO model. Each forecast represents an ensemble average of 3 sets of predictions initialized during the last three consecutive months (see Figure F8).

LDEO FORECASTS OF NINO3





FIGURE F8. LDEO forecasts of SST anomalies for the Nino 3 region using wind stresses obtained from (top) QuikSCAT, (middle) NCEP, and (bottom) Florida State Univ. (FSU), along with SSTs (obtained from NCEP), and sea surface height data (obtained from TOPEX/POSEIDON) data. Each thin blue line represents a 12-month forecast, initialized one month apart for the past 24 months. Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the thick red line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W.



NOAA/ESRL PSD and CIRES/CDC Experimental Forecast

FIGURE F9. Forecast of tropical SST anomalies from the Linear Inverse Modeling technique of Penland and Magorian (1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 1067-1076). The contour interval is 0.3C. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1981-2010 climatology and are projected onto 20 leading EOFs.




FIGURE F10. Predictions of Niño 3.4 SSTA (blue solid line) and verification (solid red line). The Niño3.4 Index was calculated in the area 6N-6S, 170W-120W. The 1980-2010 climatology was subtracted from ERSST data between 1950 and 2010, after which they were projected onto 20 EOFs containing 90% of the variance. Significant 1950-2010 trends were subtracted from the corresponding PCs, the forecast was made on the detrended anomalies, after which the trend was added to the forecast. The dotted lines indicate the one standard deviation confidence interval for the forecasts based on a perfect adherence to assumption.

SIO/MPI HCM-T3.0 Tropical SST Anomaly Forecast, 04 Dec 2012




FIGURE F11. SST anomaly forecast for the equatorial Pacific from the Hybrid Coupled Model (HCM) developed by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the Max-Planck Institut fuer Meteorologie.




FIGURE F12. ENSO-CLIPER statistical model forecasts of three-month average sea surface temperature anomalies (green lines, deg. C) in (top panel) the Nino 4 region (5N-5S, 160E-150W), (second panel) the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 170W-120W), (third panel) the Nino 3 region (5N-5S, 150W-90W), and (fourth panel) the Nino 1+2 region (0-10S, 90W-80W) (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633-652). Bottom panel shows predictions of the three-month standardized Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, green line). Horizontal bars on green line indicate the adjusted root mean square error (RMSE). The Observed three-month average values are indicated by the thick blue line. SST anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period means, and the SOI is calculated from the 1951-1980 base period means.

Mid-Nov 2012 Plume of Model ENSO Predictions




FIGURE F13. Time series of predicted sea surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (deg. C) from various dynamical and statistical models for nine overlapping 3-month periods. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. Figure provided by the International Research Institute (IRI).

Extratropical Highlights – November 2012

1. Northern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa circulation during November featured a persistent anomalous zonal wave-4 pattern (Figs. E9, E11). Aspects of this pattern included above-average heights across the high latitudes of the North Pacific Ocean, the central U.S., and southwestern Russia, and below-average heights over the Gulf of Alaska, the southeastern U.S., the eastern North Atlantic, central Asia, and the western North Pacific (Fig. E9). The pronounced north-south dipole of height anomalies over the western Pacific reflected a strong negative phase (-2.0) of the West Pacific teleconnection pattern (Fig. E7, Table E1).

The main land-surface temperature signals during November included above-average temperatures in the western U.S., across Europe, and western Russia, and below-average temperatures in Alaska, the eastern U.S., and central Siberia (Fig. E1). The main precipitation signals included above-average totals in eastern China, and below-average totals over the eastern half of the U.S. and the Plains states (Fig. E3) where ongoing precipitation deficits (Fig. E5) have led to a continuation of exceptional drought conditions.

a. North America

The mean 500-hPa circulation during November featured a persistent anomalous wave pattern characterized by above-average heights over the high latitudes of the North Pacific and the western U.S., and below-average heights over the Gulf of Alaska and the southeastern U.S. (Figs. E9, E11). This pattern strongly influenced the temperature and rainfall patterns across North America, with anomalously cold and dry conditions observed downstream of the mean ridge axes (across southern Alaska and the eastern U.S.), and well above-average temperatures observed across the western U.S. (Figs. E1, E3).

Precipitation totals across the eastern U.S. were generally in the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences, with many areas recording the lowest monthly totals for November in the record dating back to 1979 (Fig. E5). Similar deficits were observed in the central U.S., with the Great Plains region recording well below-average totals for the seventh straight month. As a result, large portions of the central U.S. continued to be impacted by extreme or exceptional drought. At the end of November, the “U.S. Drought Monitor” indicated exceptional drought from Texas northward to central South Dakota, including portions of eastern Wyoming and eastern Colorado. Extreme drought persisted in portions of Arkansas, Iowa, and southern Minnesota.

b. Europe/ Asia

The mean 500-hPa circulation during November featured an anomalous wave pattern with above-average heights over southwestern Russia and below-average heights over the eastern North Atlantic and central Asia (Fig. E9). This pattern contributed to above-average temperatures across Europe, and to below-average temperatures over central Siberia (Fig. E1). It also contributed to below-average precipitation over southeastern Europe and southwestern Russia (Fig. E3).

A strong negative phase of the West Pacific teleconnection pattern (Fig. E7, Table E1) was also present during November, as indicated by a large area of above-average heights at 500-hPa over the

high latitudes of the central North Pacific and eastern Siberia, and below-average heights extending from central Asia eastward to the date line. This pattern was associated with enhanced westerly jet stream winds across southern China and the low latitudes of the western North Pacific (Figs. T21, E10), and with a southward shift of the entrance region for the East Asian jet (Figs. T21, E10). This shift included an anomalous southerly flow of moist air at 850-hPa into eastern China (Fig. T20), and anomalous ascending motion across that region (Fig. T24). These conditions were associated with well above-average precipitation in eastern China, where monthly totals exceeded the 90th percentile of occurrences (Figs. E3, E4).

2. Southern Hemisphere

The mean 500-hPa circulation during November featured above-average heights over Antarctica and below-average heights centered over the three ocean basins (Fig. E15). Much of Australia again recorded above-average temperatures during November, while exceptionally dry conditions were present in the northeast (Fig. E3).

The South African rainy season lasts from October to April. During November 2012, rainfall for the region as a whole was below average, with much of southeastern Africa recording totals in the lowest 30th percentile of occurrences (Figs. E3, E4). Previously, the region recorded above-average precipitation during both September and October.

The Antarctic ozone hole typically develops during August, reaches peak aerial extent in September and early October, and dissipates rapidly during November. The 2012 ozone hole had dissipated by early November, after being smaller than average during August-October (Fig. S8)

Overall, the size of the 2012 ozone hole was comparable to the smallest seen during the 2002-2011 period. This reduced size coincided with a below-average aerial coverage of polar stratospheric clouds throughout July- October, along with a below-average size of the SH polar vortex during October and November (Fig. S8).

TELECONNECTION INDICES

| Month | North Atlantic | | | North Pacific | | | | EURASIA | | |
|--------|----------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|---------------|---------|--------|--|
| | NAO | EA | WP | EP-NP | PNA | TNH | EATL/ WRUS | SCAND | POLEUR | |
| NOV 12 | -0.7 | 1.1 | -2.0 | 0.1 | -1.1 | --- | -0.6 | 0.7 | -0.2 | |
| OCT 12 | -1.7 | -0.3 | -2.5 | 0.6 | -1.1 | --- | -1.0 | -0.3 | -0.2 | |
| SEP 12 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | -0.4 | --- | -0.5 | -0.9 | -0.7 | |
| AUG 12 | -1.4 | 1.4 | -0.1 | 0.6 | -0.2 | --- | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | |
| JUL 12 | -1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | -1.0 | -0.6 | --- | -1.4 | -0.6 | 1.0 | |
| JUN 12 | -2.2 | -0.1 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -0.4 | --- | 0.0 | -1.4 | -1.8 | |
| MAY 12 | -0.8 | 0.5 | -1.7 | -1.5 | -0.3 | --- | -0.5 | -0.6 | -0.1 | |
| APR 12 | 0.4 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.3 | -0.1 | --- | -1.6 | -0.9 | -1.0 | |
| MAR 12 | 0.9 | -0.6 | 0.8 | -2.6 | -0.2 | --- | 1.3 | -0.5 | -1.4 | |
| FEB 12 | 0.0 | -1.7 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | -0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | |
| JAN 12 | 0.9 | -1.8 | -1.6 | -1.9 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.6 | -2.3 | |
| DEC 11 | 2.2 | 0.1 | -0.4 | --- | 0.1 | 0.7 | -0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | |
| NOV 11 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 0.4 | -1.3 | -0.8 | --- | 2.1 | 0.6 | -0.4 | |

TABLE E1-Standardized amplitudes of selected Northern Hemisphere teleconnection patterns for the most recent thirteen months (computational procedures are described in Fig. E7). Pattern names and abbreviations are North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO); East Atlantic pattern (EA); West Pacific pattern (WP); East Pacific - North Pacific pattern (EP-NP); Pacific/North American pattern (PNA); Tropical/Northern Hemisphere pattern (TNH); East Atlantic/Western Russia pattern (EATL/WRUS)-called Eurasia-2 pattern by Barnston and Livezey, 1987, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **115**, 1083-1126); Scandinavia pattern (SCAND-called Eurasia-1 pattern by Barnston and Livezey 1987); and Polar Eurasia pattern (POLEUR). No value is plotted for calendar months in which the pattern does not appear as a leading mode.




FIGURE E1. Surface temperature anomalies ($^{\circ}\text{C}$, top) and surface temperature expressed as percentiles of the normal (Gaussian) distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for NOV 2012. Analysis is based on station data over land and on SST data over the oceans (top). Anomalies for station data are departures from the 1981–2010 base period means, while SST anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 adjusted OI climatology. (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323). Regions with insufficient data for analysis in both figures are indicated by shading in the top figure only.




FIGURE E2. Monthly global (top), Northern Hemisphere (middle), and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) surface temperature anomalies (land only, °C) from January 1990 - present, computed as departures from the 1981–2010 base period means.




FIGURE E3. Anomalous precipitation (mm, top) and precipitation percentiles based on a Gamma distribution fit to the 1981–2010 base period data (bottom) for NOV 2012. Data are obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). Contours are drawn at 200, 100, 50, 25, -25, -50, -100, and -200 mm in top panel. Percentiles are not plotted in regions where mean monthly precipitation is <5mm/month.




FIGURE E4. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (% , bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, 12, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.




FIGURE E5. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (% , bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, 12, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981–2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.

Monthly Accumulation -- November, 2012





FIGURE E6. Observed precipitation (upper left), departure from average (upper right), percent of average (lower left), and average precipitation (lower right) for NOV 2012. The units are given on each panel. Base period for averages is 1981–2010. Results are based on CPC’s U. S. daily precipitation analysis, which is available at <http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/realtime>.

Monthly Teleconnection Indices



Data updated through November 2012

FIGURE E7. Standardized monthly Northern Hemisphere teleconnection indices. The teleconnection patterns are calculated from a Rotated Principal Component Analysis (RPCA) applied to monthly standardized 500-hPa height anomalies during the 1981-2010 base period. To obtain these patterns, ten leading un-rotated modes are first calculated for each calendar month by using the monthly height anomaly fields for the three-month period centered on that month: [i.e., The July modes are calculated from the June, July, and August standardized monthly anomalies]. A Varimax spatial rotation of the ten leading un-rotated modes for each calendar month results in 120 rotated modes (12 months x 10 modes per month) that yield ten primary teleconnection patterns. The teleconnection indices are calculated by first projecting the standardized monthly anomalies onto the teleconnection patterns corresponding to that month (eight or nine teleconnection patterns are seen in each calendar month). The indices are then solved for simultaneously using a Least-Squares approach. In this approach, the indices are the solution to the Least-Squares system of equations which explains the maximum spatial structure of the observed height anomaly field during the month. The indices are then standardized for each pattern and calendar month independently. No index value exists when the teleconnection pattern does not appear as one of the ten leading rotated EOF's valid for that month.

November 2012
Sea-Level Pressure and Anomaly




FIGURE E8. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012
500-hPa Height and Anomaly





FIGURE E9. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012
300-hPa Wind



300-hPa Wind Anomaly




FIGURE E10. Northern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms^{-1} . Values greater than 30 ms^{-1} (left) and 10 ms^{-1} (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012 500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E11. Northern Hemisphere percentage of days during NOV 2012 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-

November 2012
500-hPa Height Anomalies: 40°N





FIGURE E12. Northern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for NOV 2012 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°N. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.

November 2012
 500-hPa Heights (Contours)
 High Frequency Std. Dev. (Shading)



500-hPa Heights (Contours)
 Normalized High Frequency Variance (Shading)




FIGURE E13. Northern Hemisphere 500-hPa heights (thick contours, interval is 6 dam) overlaid with (Top) Standard deviation of 10-day high-pass (HP) filtered height anomalies and (Bottom) Normalized anomalous variance of 10-day HP filtered height anomalies. A Lanczos filter is used to calculate the HP filtered anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 daily means.

November 2012
Sea-Level Pressure and Anomaly




FIGURE E14. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure(CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012
500-hPa Height and Anomaly





FIGURE E15. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012
300-hPa Wind



300-hPa Wind Anomaly




FIGURE E16. Southern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for NOV 2012. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms⁻¹. Values greater than 30 ms⁻¹ (left) and 10 ms⁻¹ (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means.

November 2012 500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E17. Southern Hemisphere percentage of days during NOV 2012 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-

November 2012
500-hPa Height Anomalies: 40°S




FIGURE E18. Southern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for NOV 2012 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°S. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.

November 2012
Height Anomalies




FIGURE S1. Stratospheric height anomalies (m) at selected levels for NOV 2012. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S2. Height-longitude sections during NOV 2012 for height anomalies (contour) and temperature anomalies (shaded). In both panels, positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading, while negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval for height anomalies is 60 m and for temperature anomalies is 2°C. Anomalies are calculated from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.

50hPa SON Mean Temperature Anomalies




FIGURE S3. Seasonal mean temperature anomalies at 50-hPa for the latitude bands 65°–90°N, 25°–65°N, 25°N–25°S, 25°–65°S, 65°–90°S. The seasonal mean is comprised of the most recent three months. Zonal anomalies are taken from the mean of the entire data set.

Zonal Mean Temperature for 2011 & 2012




FIGURE S4. Daily mean temperatures at 10-hPa and 2-hPa (thick line) in the region 65°–90°N and 65°–90°S for the past two years. Dashed line depicts the 1981–2010 base period daily mean. Thin solid lines depict the daily extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.




FIGURE S5. Monthly ozone anomalies (percent) from the long term monthly means for five zones: 50N-30N (NH mid-latitudes), 25N-10N (NH tropical surf zone), 10N-10S (Equatorial-QBO zone), 10S-25S (SH tropical surf zone), and 30S-50S (SH mid-latitudes). The long term monthly means are determined from the entire data set

NOVEMBER PERCENT DIFF (2012 - AVG[79-86])




FIGURE S6. Northern (top) and Southern (bottom) Hemisphere total ozone anomaly (percent difference from monthly mean for the period 1979-1986). The region near the winter pole has no SBUV/2 data.

Fz at 100 hPa (Nov. 2012)




FIGURE S7. Daily vertical component of EP flux (which is proportional to the poleward transport of heat or upward transport of potential energy by planetary wave) at 100 hPa averaged over (top) 30°N–90°N and (bottom) 30°S–90°S for NOV 2012. The EP flux unit ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$) has been scaled by multiplying a factor of the Brunt Vaisala frequency divided by the Coriolis parameter and the radius of the earth. The letter ‘M’ indicates the current monthly mean value and the letter ‘C’ indicates the climatological mean value. Additionally, the normalized departures from the monthly climatological EP flux values are shown.







FIGURE S8. Daily time series showing the size of the SH polar vortex (representing the area enclosed by the 32 PVU contour on the 450K isentropic surface), and the areal coverage of temperatures < -78C on the 450K isentropic surface.



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Nov 1–15, 2012)



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Nov 16–30, 2012)



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Nov 1–30, 2012)




FIGURE A2.1. (a) Daily amplitudes of the Arctic Oscillation (AO) the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), and the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. The pattern amplitudes for the AO, (NAO, PNA) are calculated by projecting the daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height anomaly field onto the leading EOF obtained from standardized time-series of daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height for all months of the year. The base period is 1981–2010.

(b-d) Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for selected periods during NOV 2012 are shown in the remaining 3 panels. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 8 dam. Dark (light) shading corresponds to anomalies greater than 50 m (less than -50 m). Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1981-2010 base period daily means.

SSM/I Snow Cover for Nov 2012
anomaly based on departure from 1987–2010 baseline




FIGURE A2.2. SSM/I derived snow cover frequency (%) (left) and snow cover anomaly (%) (right) for the month of NOV 2012 based on 1987 - 2010 base period for the Northern Hemisphere (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom). It is generated using the algorithm described by Ferraro et. al, 1996, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., vol 77, 891-905.